

Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures on a non-Archimedean Banach space.II. Measures with values in non-Archimedean fields.

Sergey V. Ludkovsky.

25.05.2001

Abstract

Quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures on a Banach space X over a non-Archimedean locally compact infinite field with a non-trivial valuation are defined and constructed. Measures are considered with values in non-Archimedean fields, for example, the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers. Theorems and criteria are formulated and proved about quasi-invariance and pseudo-differentiability of measures relative to linear and non-linear operators on X . Characteristic functionals of measures are studied. Moreover, the non-Archimedean analogs of the Bochner-Kolmogorov and Minlos-Sazonov theorems are investigated. Infinite products of measures are considered and the analog of the Kakutani theorem is proved. Convergence of quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures in the corresponding spaces of measures is investigated.

1 Introduction.

This part is the continuation of the first one and treats the case of measures with values in non-Archimedean fields of zero characteristic, for example, the field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers. There are specific features with formulations of definitions and theorems and their proofs, because of differences in the

notions of σ -additivity of real-valued and $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -valued measures, differences in the notions of spaces of integrable functions, quasi-invariance and pseudo-differentiability. The Lebesgue convergence theorem has quite another meaning, the Radon-Nikodym theorem in its classical form is not applicable to the considered here case. A lot of definitions and theorems given below are the non-Archimedean analogs of classical results. Frequently their formulations and proofs differ strongly. If proofs differ slightly from the classical or that of Part I, only general circumstances are given in the non-Archimedean case.

In §2 weak distributions, characteristic functions of measures and their properties are defined and investigated. The non-Archimedean analogs of the Minlos-Sazonov and Bochner-Kolmogorov theorems are given. Quasi-measures also are considered. In §3 products of measures are considered together with their density functions. The non-Archimedean analog of the Kakutani theorem is investigated. In the present paper broad classes of quasi-invariant measures are defined and constructed. Theorems about quasi-invariance of measures under definite linear and non-linear transformations $U : X \rightarrow X$ are proved. §4 contains a notion of pseudo-differentiability of measures. This is necessary, because for functions $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_s$ with $s \neq p$ there is not any notion of differentiability (there is not such non-linear non-trivial f), where $\mathbf{K}$ is a field such that $\mathbf{K} \supset \mathbf{Q}_p$. There are given criteria for the pseudo-differentiability. In §5 there are given theorems about convergence of measures with taking into account their quasi-invariance and pseudo-differentiability, that is, in the corresponding spaces of measures. The main results are Theorems 2.21, 2.30, 3.5, 3.6, 3.15, 3.19, 3.20, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 5.7-5.10.

In this part notations of Part I are used also.

Notations. Henceforth, $\mathbf{K}$ denotes a locally compact infinite field with a non-trivial norm, then the Banach space X is over $\mathbf{K}$. In the present article measures on X have values in the field $\mathbf{K}_s$, that is, a finite algebraic extension of the s -adic field $\mathbf{Q}_s$ with the certain prime number s . Henceforth, $\mathbf{C}_s$ denotes the uniform completion of the union of all $\mathbf{K}_s$ with the multiplicative ultranorm extending that of $\mathbf{Q}_s$. We assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is s -free as the additive group, for example, either $\mathbf{K}$ is a finite algebraic extension of the field of p -adic numbers $\mathbf{Q}_p$ or $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = p$ and $\mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic with a field $\mathbf{F}_p(\theta)$ of formal power series consisting of elements $x = \sum_j a_j \theta^j$, where $a_j \in \mathbf{F}_p$, $|\theta| = p^{-1}$, $\mathbf{F}_p$ is a finite field of p elements, p is a prime number and $p \neq s$. These imply that $\mathbf{K}$ has the Haar measures with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ [35]. If X is

a Hausdorff topological space with a small inductive dimension $ind(X) = 0$, then

E denotes an algebra of subsets of X , as a rule $E \supset Bco(X)$ for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures, where

$Bco(X)$ denotes an algebra of clopen (closed and open) subsets of X ,

$Bf(X)$ is a Borel σ -field of X in §2.1;

$Af(X, \mu)$ is the completion of E by a measure μ in §2.1;

$M(X)$ is a space of norm-bounded measures on X in §2.1;

$M_t(X)$ is a space of Radon norm-bounded measures in §2.1;

$L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ is a space of μ -integrable $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued functions on X in §2.4;

χ_ξ is a character with values in $\mathbf{T}_s$ in §2.5;

$\theta(z) = \hat{\mu}$ is a characteristic functional in §2.5;

$C(Y, \Gamma)$, $\tau(Y)$ in §2.20;

$\nu \ll \mu$, $\nu \sim \mu$, $\nu \perp \mu$ in §2.31.

2 Weak distributions and families of measures.

2.1. For a Hausdorff topological space X with a small inductive dimension $ind(X) = 0$ [11], henceforth, measures μ are given on a measurable space (X, E) , where E is an algebra such that $E \supset Bco(X)$, $Bco(X)$ is an algebra of closed and at the same time open (clopen) subsets in X .

We recall that a mapping $\mu : E \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ for an algebra E of subsets of X is called a measure, if the following conditions are accomplished:

(i) μ is additive and $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$,

(ii) for each $A \in E$ there exists the following norm

$$\|A\|_\mu := \sup\{|\mu(B)|_{\mathbf{K}_s} : B \subset A, B \in E\} < \infty,$$

(iii) if there is a shrinking family F , that is, for each

$A, B \in F$ there exist $F \ni C \subset (A \cap B)$ and $\cap\{A : A \in F\} = \emptyset$, then $\lim_{A \in F} \mu(A) = 0$ (see chapter 7 [35] and also about the completion $Af(X, \mu)$ of the algebra E by the measure μ). A measure with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ is called a probability measure if $\|X\|_\mu = 1$ and $\mu(X) = 1$. For functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ there are used notations $\|f\|_\phi := \sup_{x \in X} (|f(x)|\phi(x))$, $N_\mu(x) := \inf(\|U\|_\mu : U \in Bco(X), x \in X)$. Tight measures (that is,

measures defined on $E \supset Bco(X)$ compose the Banach space $\mathbf{M}(X)$ with a norm $\|\mu\| := \|X\|_\mu$. Everywhere below there are considered measures with $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, if it is not specified another.

A measure μ on E is called Radon, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset $C \subset X$ such that $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus C)}\| < \epsilon$. Henceforth, $\mathbf{M}(X)$ denotes the space of norm-bounded measures, $\mathbf{M}_t(X)$ is its subspace of Radon norm-bounded measures.

2.2. If $A \in Bco(L)$, then $P_L^{-1}(A)$ is called a cylindrical subset in X with a base A , $B^L := P_L^{-1}(Bco(L))$, $B_0 := \cup(B^L : L \subset X, L \text{ is a Banach subspace, } \dim_{\mathbf{K}} X < \aleph_0)$ (see §I.2.2). Let an increasing sequence of Banach subspaces $L_n \subset L_{n+1} \subset \dots$ such that $cl(\cup[L_n : n]) = X$, $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} L_n = \kappa_n$ for each n be chosen, where $cl(A) = \bar{A}$ denotes a closure of A in X for $A \subset X$. We fix a family of projections $P_{L_n}^{L_m} : L_m \rightarrow L_n$ such that $P_{L_n}^{L_m} P_{L_k}^{L_n} = P_{L_k}^{L_m}$ for each $m \geq n \geq k$. A projection of the measure μ onto L denoted by $\mu_L(A) := \mu(P_L^{-1}(A))$ for each $A \in Bco(L)$ compose the consistent family:

$$(1) \mu_{L_n}(A) = \mu_{L_m}(P_{L_n}^{-1}(A) \cap L_m)$$

for each $m \geq n$, since there are projectors $P_{L_n}^{L_m}$, where $\kappa_n \leq \aleph_0$ and there may be chosen $\kappa_n < \aleph_0$ for each n .

An arbitrary family of measures $\{\mu_{L_n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ having property (1) is called a sequence of a weak distribution (see also [8, 39]).

2.3. Lemma. *A sequence of a weak distribution $\{\mu_{L_n} : n\}$ is generated by some measure μ on $Bco(X)$ if and only if for each $c > 0$ there exists $b > 0$ such that $\|L_n \setminus B(X, 0, r)\|_{\mu_{L_n}} \leq c$ and $\sup_n \|L_n\|_{\mu_{L_n}} < \infty$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, where $r \geq b$.*

Proof. For μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ the necessity is evident. To prove the sufficiency it remains only to verify property (2.1.iii), since then $\|X\|_\mu = \sup_n \|L_n\|_{\mu_{L_n}} < \infty$. Let $B(n) \in E(L_n)$, $A(n) = P_{L_n}^{-1}(B(n))$, by Theorem 7.6 [35] for each $c > 0$ there is a compact subset $C(n) \subset B(n)$ such that $\|B(n) \setminus C(n)\|_{\mu_{L_n}} < c$, where $\|B(n) \setminus D(n)\|_\mu \leq \max(\|B(m) \setminus C(m)\|_{\mu_{L(m)}} : m = 1, \dots, n) < c$ and $D(n) := \bigcap_{m=1}^n P_{L(m)}^{-1}(C(m)) \cap L_n$, $P_{L_n}^{-1}(E(L_n)) \subset E = E(X)$. If $A(n) \supset A(n+1) \supset \dots$ and $\bigcap_n A(n) = \emptyset$, then $A'(n+1) \subset A'(n)$ and $\bigcap_n A'(n) = \emptyset$, where $A'(n) := P_{L_n}^{-1}(D(n))$, hence $\|A(n)\|_\mu \leq \|A'(n)\|_\mu + c$. There may be taken $B(n)$ as closed subsets in X . In view of the Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem (see Exer. 9.202(a.3) [34]) and the Hahn-Banach theorem (4.8 [35]) sets $A(n)$ and $B(X, 0, r)$ are weakly compact in X , hence, for each

$r > 0$ there exists n with $B(X, 0, r) \cap A(n) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\|A(n)\|_\mu = \|B(n)\|_{\mu_{L_n}} \leq \|L_n \setminus B(X, 0, r)\|_{\mu_{L_n}} \leq c$ and there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A(n)) = 0$, since c is arbitrary.

2.4. Definition and notations. A function $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ of the form $\phi(x) = \phi_S(P_S x)$ is called a cylindrical function if ϕ_S is a $E(S)$ -measurable function on a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ space S in X . For $\phi_S \in L(S, \mu_S, \mathbf{K}_s) := L(\mu_S)$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ we may define an integral by a sequence of a weak distribution $\{\mu_{S(n)}\}$:

$$\int_X \phi(x) \mu_*(dx) := \int \phi_{S(n)}(x) \mu_{S(n)}(dx),$$

where $L(\mu)$ is the Banach space of classes of μ -integrable functions ($f = g$ μ -almost everywhere, that is, $\|A\|_\mu = 0$, $A := \{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ is μ -negligible) with the following norm $\|f\| := \|g\|_{N_\mu}$ [5, 35, 39].

2.5. Remarks and definitions. In the notation of §I.2.6 all continuous characters $\chi : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ have the form

$$(1) \chi_\xi(x) = \epsilon^{z^{-1}\eta((\xi, x))}$$

for each $\eta((\xi, x)) \neq 0$, $\chi_\xi(x) := 1$ for $\eta((\xi, x)) = 0$, where $\epsilon = 1^z$ is a root of unity, $z = p^{ord(\eta((\xi, x)))}$, $\pi_j : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\eta(x) := \{x\}_p$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{Q}_p^{n*} = \mathbf{Q}_p^n$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$, $\eta(x) := \pi_{-1}(x)/p$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{K}^* = \mathbf{K}$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$, $x \in \mathbf{K}$, (see §25 [16]). Each χ is locally constant, hence $\chi : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_s$ is also continuous, where $\mathbf{T}$ denotes the discrete group of all roots of 1, $\mathbf{T}_s$ denotes its subgroup of elements with orders that are not degrees s^m of s , $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

For a measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ there exists a characteristic functional (that is, called the Fourier-Stieltjes transformation) $\theta = \theta_\mu : C(X, \mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$:

$$(2) \theta(f) := \int_X \chi_e(f(x)) \mu(dx),$$

where either $e = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbf{Q}_p^n$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ or $e = 1 \in \mathbf{K}^*$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$, $x \in X$, f is in the space $C(X, \mathbf{K})$ of continuous functions from X into $\mathbf{K}$, in particular for $z = f$ in the topologically conjugated space X^* over $\mathbf{K}$, $z : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$, $z \in X^*$, $\theta(z) =: \hat{\mu}(z)$. It has the following properties:

$$(3a) \theta(0) = 1 \text{ for } \mu(X) = 1$$

and $\theta(f)$ is bounded on $C(X, \mathbf{K})$;

$$(3b) \sup_f |\theta(f)| = 1 \text{ for probability measures ;}$$

(4) $\theta(z)$ is weakly continuous, that is, $(X^*, \sigma(X^*, X))$ -continuous,

$\sigma(X^*, X)$ denotes a weak topology on X^* , induced by the Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$. To each $x \in X$ there corresponds a continuous linear functional $x^* : X^* \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$, $x^*(z) := z(x)$, moreover, $\theta(f)$ is uniformly continuous relative to the norm on

$$C_b(X, \mathbf{K}) := \{f \in C(X, \mathbf{K}) : \|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|_{\mathbf{K}} < \infty\}.$$

Property (4) follows from Lemma 2.3, boundedness and continuity of χ_e and the fact that due to the Hahn-Banach theorem there is $x_z \in X$ with $z(x_z) = 1$ for $z \neq 0$ such that $z|_{(X \ominus L)} = 0$ and

$$\theta(z) = \int_X \chi_e(P_L(x)) \mu(dx) = \int_L \chi_e(y) \mu_L(dy),$$

where $L = \mathbf{K}x_z$, also due to the Lebesgue theorem (from Exer. 7.F [35] for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$). Indeed, for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact subset $S \subset X$ such that $\|X \setminus S\|_\mu < c$, each bounded subset $A \subset X^*$ is uniformly equicontinuous on S (see (9.5.4) and Exer. 9.202 [34]), that is, $\{\chi_e(z(x)) : z \in A\}$ is the uniformly equicontinuous family (by $x \in S$). On the other hand, $\chi_e(f(x))$ is uniformly equicontinuous on a bounded $A \subset C_b(X, \mathbf{K})$ by $x \in S$.

We call a functional θ finite-dimensionally concentrated, if there exists $L \subset X$, $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} L < \aleph_0$, such that $\theta|_{(X \setminus L)} = \mu(X)$. For each $c > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ in view of Theorem 7.6[35] there exists a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L and compact $S \subset L^\delta$ such that $\|X \setminus S\|_\mu < c$. Let $\theta^L(z) := \theta(P_L z)$.

This definition is correct, since $L \subset X$, X has the isometrical embedding into X^* as the normed space associated with the fixed basis of X , such that functionals $z \in X$ separate points in X . If $z \in L$, then $|\theta(z) - \theta^L(z)| \leq c \times b \times q$, where $b = \|X\|_\mu$, q is independent of c and b . Each characteristic functional $\theta^L(z)$ is uniformly continuous by $z \in L$ relative to the norm $\|*\|$ on L , since $|\theta^L(z) - \theta^L(y)| \leq |\int_{S' \cap L} [\chi_e(z(x)) - \chi_e(y(x))] \mu_L(dx)| + |\int_{L \setminus S'} [\chi_e(z(x)) - \chi_e(y(x))] \mu_L(dx)|$, where the second term does not exceed

$2C'$ for $\|L \setminus S'\|_{\mu_L} < c'$ for a suitable compact subset $S' \subset X$ and $\chi_e(z(x))$ is a uniformly equicontinuous by $x \in S'$ family relative to $z \in B(L, 0, 1)$.

Therefore,

$$(5) \quad \theta(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n(z)$$

for each finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L , where $\theta_n(z)$ is uniformly equicontinuous and finite-dimensionally concentrated on $L_n \subset X$, $z \in X$, $cl(\bigcup_n L_n) = X$, $L_n \subset L_{n+1}$ for every n , for each $c > 0$ there are n and $q > 0$ such that $|\theta(z) - \theta_j(z)| \leq cbq$ for $z \in L_j$ and $j > n$, $q = \text{const} > 0$ is independent of j , c and b . Let $\{e_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis in X , $e_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 in j -th place. Using Property 2.1.(iii) of μ , local constantness of χ_e , considering all $z = be_j$ and $b \in \mathbf{K}$, we get that $\theta(z)$ on X is non-trivial, whilst μ is a non-zero measure, since due to Lemma 2.3 μ is characterized uniquely by $\{\mu_{L_n} : n\}$. Indeed, for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ a measure μ_V on V , $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} V < \aleph_0$, this follows from Theorem 9.20[16], where

$$F(g)(z) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(V, 0, r)} \chi_e(z(x)) g(x) m(dx),$$

$z \in V$, $g \in L(V, \mu_V, \mathbf{C}_s)$, m is the Haar measure on V with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$. Therefore, the mapping $\mu \mapsto \theta_\mu$ is injective.

2.6. Theorem. *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be measures in $\mathbf{M}(X)$ on the same algebra E , where $Bco(X) \subset E \subset Bf(X)$ such that $\hat{\mu}_1(f) = \hat{\mu}_2(f)$ for each $f \in \Gamma$. Then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, where $X = c_0(\alpha, K)$, $\alpha \leq \omega_0$, Γ is a vector subspace in a space of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ separating points in X .*

Proof. Let at first $\alpha < \omega_0$, then due to §2.5 $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, since the family Γ generates E . Now let $\alpha = \omega_0$, $A = \{x \in X : (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \in S\}$, ν_j be an image of a measure μ_j for a mapping $x \mapsto (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$, where $S \in E(\mathbf{K}^n)$, $f_j \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$. Then $\hat{\nu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_1(y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_n f_n) = \hat{\mu}_2(y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_n f_n) = \hat{\nu}_2(y)$ for each $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{K}^n$, consequently, $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ on E . Further compositions of $f \in \Gamma$ with continuous functions $g : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ generate a family of $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued functions correspondingly separating points of X (see also Chapter 9 in [35]).

2.7. Proposition. *Let μ_l and μ be measures in $\mathbf{M}(X_l)$ and $\mathbf{M}(X)$ respectively, where $X_l = c_0(\alpha_l, K)$, $\alpha_l \leq \omega_0$, $X = \prod_{l=1}^n X_l$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the condition $\hat{\mu}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{l=1}^n \hat{\mu}_l(z_l)$ for each $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ is equivalent to $\mu = \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l$, then $\hat{\mu}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \int_X \chi_e(\sum z_l(x_l)) \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l(dx_l) = \prod_{l=1}^n \int_{X_l} \chi_e(z_l(x_l)) \mu_l(dx_l)$. The reverse statement follows from Theorem 2.6.

2.8. Proposition. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$; suppose μ , μ_1 and μ_2 are probability measures on X . Then the following conditions are equivalent: μ is the convolution of two measures μ_j , $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$, and $\hat{\mu}(z) = \hat{\mu}_1(z)\hat{\mu}_2(z)$ for each $z \in X$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$. This means by the definition that μ is the image of the measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ for the mapping $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 + x_2$, $x_j \in X$, consequently, $\hat{\mu}(z) = \int_{X \times X} \chi_e(z(x_1 + x_2)) (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(d(x_1, x_2)) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \int_X \chi_e(z(x_l)) \mu_l(dx_l) = \hat{\mu}_1(z)\hat{\mu}_2(z)$. On the other hand, if $\hat{\mu}_1\hat{\mu}_2 = \mu$, then $\hat{\mu} = (\mu_1 * \mu_2)^\wedge$ and due to Theorem 9.20[35] for measures with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, we have $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$.

2.9. Corollary. *Let ν be a probability measure on $Bf(X)$ and $\mu * \nu = \mu$ for each μ with values in the same field, then $\nu = \delta_0$.*

Proof. If $z_0 \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ and $\hat{\mu}(z_0) \neq 0$, then from $\hat{\mu}(z_0)\hat{\nu}(z_0) = \hat{\mu}(z_0)$ it follows that $\hat{\nu}(z_0) = 1$. From Property 2.6(5) we get that there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\hat{\mu}(z) \neq 0$ for each z with $\|z\| = p^{-m}$, since $\hat{\mu}(0) = 1$. Then $\hat{\nu}(z + z_0) = 1$, that is, $\hat{\nu}|_{(B(X, z_0, p^{-m}))} = 1$. Since μ are arbitrary we get $\hat{\nu}|_X = 1$, that is, $\nu = \delta_0$ due to §2.5.

2.10. Corollary. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces over $\mathbf{K}$, μ and ν be probability measures on X and Y respectively, suppose $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous linear operator. A measure ν is an image of μ for T if and only if $\hat{\nu} = \hat{\mu} \circ T^*$, where $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is an adjoint operator.*

Proof follows from §2.5 and §2.6.

2.11. Proposition. *For a completely regular space X with $\text{ind}(X) = 0$ the following statements are accomplished:*

(a) *if (μ_β) is a bounded net of measures in $\mathbf{M}(X)$ that weakly converges to a measure μ in $\mathbf{M}(X)$, then $(\hat{\mu}_\beta(f))$ converges to $\hat{\mu}(f)$ for each continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$; if X is separable and metrizable then $(\hat{\mu}_\beta)$ converges to $\hat{\mu}$ uniformly on subsets that are uniformly equicontinuous in $C(X, \mathbf{K})$;*

(b) *if M is a bounded dense family in a ball of the space $\mathbf{M}(X)$ for measures in $\mathbf{M}(X)$, then a family $(\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M)$ is equicontinuous on a locally $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space $C(X, \mathbf{K})$ in a topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets $S \subset X$.*

Proof. (a). Functions $\chi_e(f(x))$ are continuous and bounded on X , where $\hat{\mu}(f) = \int_X \chi_e(f(x))\mu(dx)$. Then (a) follows from the definition of the weak convergence, since $sp_{\mathbf{C}_s}\{\chi_e(f(x)) : f \in C(X, \mathbf{K})\}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbf{C}_s)$.

(b). For each $c > 0$ there exists a compact subset $S \subset X$ such that $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus S)}\| < c/4$ for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures. Therefore, for $\mu \in \mathbf{M}$ and $f \in C(X, \mathbf{K})$ with $|f(x)|_{\mathbf{K}} < c < 1$ for $x \in S$ we get $|\mu(X) - \hat{\mu}(f)| = |\int_X (1 -$

$|\chi_e(f(x))\mu(dx)| < c/2$ for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued μ , since for $c < 1$ and $x \in S$ we have $\chi_e(f(x)) - \chi_e(-f(x)) = 0$.

2.12. Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a continuous positive definite function, (μ_β) be a bounded weakly relatively compact net in the space $\mathbf{M}_t(X)$ of Radon norm-bounded measures and there exists $\lim_\beta \hat{\mu}_\beta(f) = \gamma(f)$ for each $f \in \Gamma$ and uniformly on compact subsets of the completion $\tilde{\Gamma}$, where $\Gamma \subset C(X, \mathbf{K})$ is a vector subspace separating points in X . Then (μ_β) weakly converges to $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_t(X)$ with $\hat{\mu}|_\Gamma = \gamma$.*

Proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem IV.3.1[43] and follows from Theorem 2.6 above and using the non-Archimedean Lebesgue convergence theorem (see Chapter 7 in [35]).

2.13. Theorem. (a). *A bounded family of measures in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{K}^n)$ is weakly relatively compact if and only if a family $(\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M)$ is equicontinuous on $\mathbf{K}^n$.*

(b). *If $(\mu_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ is a bounded sequence of measures in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$, $\gamma : \mathbf{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ is a continuous function, $\hat{\mu}_j(y) \rightarrow \gamma(y)$ for each $y \in \mathbf{K}^n$ uniformly on compact subsets in $\mathbf{K}^n$, then (μ_j) weakly converges to a measure μ with $\hat{\mu} = \gamma$.*

(c). *A bounded sequence of measures (μ_j) in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$ weakly converges to a measure μ in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$ if and only if for each $y \in \mathbf{K}^n$ there exists $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_j(y) = \hat{\mu}(y)$.*

(d). *If a bounded net (μ_β) in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$ converges uniformly on each bounded subset in $\mathbf{K}^n$, then (μ_β) converges weakly to a measure μ in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$, where $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. (a). This follows from Proposition 2.11.

(b). Due to the non-Archimedean Fourier transform and the Lebesgue convergence theorem [35] for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures and from the condition $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|y| > R} |\gamma(y)| R^n = 0$ it follows, that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $\lim_m \sup_{j > m} \|\mu_j|_{\{x \in \mathbf{K}^n : |x| > R\}}\| \leq 2 \sup_{|y| > R} |\gamma(y)| R < \epsilon$ for each $R > R_0$. In view of Theorem 2.12 (μ_j) converges weakly to μ with $\hat{\mu} = \gamma$. (c,d). These can be proved analogously to IV.3.2[43].

2.14. Corollary. *If $(\hat{\mu}_\beta) \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on some neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbf{K}^n$ for a bounded net of measures μ_β in $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbf{K}^n)$, then (μ_β) converges weakly to δ_0 .*

2.15. Definition. A family of probability measures $M \subset \mathbf{M}_t(X)$ for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ is called planely concentrated if for each $c > 0$ there exists a $\mathbf{K}$ -linear subspace $S \subset X$ with $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} S = n < \aleph_0$ such that

$\inf(\|S^c\|_\mu : \mu \in M) > 1 - c$. The Banach space $\mathbf{M}_t(X)$ is supplied with the following norm $\|\mu\|$

2.16. Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$ with a family $\Gamma \subset X^*$ separating points in $M \subset \mathbf{M}_t(X)$. Then M is weakly relatively compact if and only if a family $\{\mu_z : \mu \in M\}$ is weakly relatively compact for each $z \in \Gamma$ and M is planely concentrated, where μ_z is an image measure on $\mathbf{K}$ of a measure μ induced by z .*

Proof follows from the Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem [34], Lemmas I.2.5 and I.2.21.

2.17. Theorem. *For X and Γ the same as in Theorem 2.16 a sequence $\{\mu_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathbf{M}_t(X)$ is weakly convergent to $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_t(X)$ if and only if for each $z \in \Gamma$ there exists $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_j(z) = \hat{\mu}(z)$ and a family $\{\mu_j\}$ is planely concentrated.*

Proof follows from Theorems 2.12, 13, 16.

2.18. Proposition. *Let X be a weakly regular space with $\text{ind}(X) = 0$, $\Gamma \subset C(X, \mathbf{K})$ be a vector subspace separating points in X , $(\mu_n : n \in \mathbf{N}) \subset \mathbf{M}_t(X)$, $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_t(X)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(f) = \hat{\mu}(f)$ for each $f \in \Gamma$. Then (μ_n) is weakly convergent to μ relative to the weakest topology $\sigma(X, \Gamma)$ in X relative to which all $f \in \Gamma$ are continuous.*

Proof follows from Theorem 2.13.

2.19. Let $(X, \mathbf{U}) = \prod_\lambda (X_\lambda, \mathbf{U}_\lambda)$ be a product of measurable completely regular Radon spaces $(X_\lambda, \mathbf{U}_\lambda) = (X_\lambda, \mathbf{U}_\lambda, \mathbf{K}_\lambda)$, where $\mathbf{K}_\lambda$ are compact classes approximating from below each measure μ_λ on $(X_\lambda, \mathbf{U}_\lambda)$, that is, for each $c > 0$ and elements A of an algebra $\mathbf{U}_\lambda$ there is $S \in \mathbf{K}_\lambda$, $S \subset A$ with $\|A \setminus S\|_{\mu_\lambda} < c$.

Theorem. *Each bounded quasi-measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ on $(X, \mathbf{U})$ (that is, $\mu|_{\mathbf{U}_\lambda}$ is a bounded measure for each λ) is extendible to a measure on an algebra $Af(X, \mu) \supset \mathbf{U}$, where an algebra $\mathbf{U}$ is generated by a family $(\mathbf{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda)$.*

Proof. We have 2.1(i) by the condition and $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$, if 2.1(iii) is satisfied. It remains to prove 2.1(iii). For each sequence $(A_n) \subset \mathbf{U}$ with $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$ and each $c > 0$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$ we choose $K_j \in \mathbf{K}$, where the compact class $\mathbf{K}$ is generated by $(\mathbf{K}_\lambda)$ (see Proposition 1.1.8[8]), such that $K_j \subset A_j$ and $\|A_j \setminus K_j\|_\mu < c$. Since $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n \subset \bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$, then there exists $l \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\bigcap_{n=1}^l K_n = \emptyset$, hence $A_l = A_l \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^l K_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^l (A_n \setminus K_n)$, consequently, $\|A_l\|_\mu \leq \max_{n=1, \dots, l} (\|A_n \setminus K_n\|_\mu) < c$. It remains to use Theorem 7.8[35] about uniqueness of an extension of a measure.

2.20. Definition. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, then a mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ is called pseudocontinuous, if its restriction $f|_L$ is uniformly continuous for each $\mathbf{K}$ -linear subspace $L \subset X$ with $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} L < \aleph_0$. Let Γ be a family of mappings $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ of a set Y into a field $\mathbf{K}$. We denote by $\mathbf{C}(Y, \Gamma)$ an algebra of subsets of the form $C_{f_1, \dots, f_n; E} := \{x \in X : (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \in S\}$, where $S \in Bco(\mathbf{K}^n)$, $f_j \in \Gamma$. We supply Y with a topology $\tau(Y)$ which is generated by a base $(C_{f_1, \dots, f_n; E} : f_j \in \Gamma, E \text{ is open in } \mathbf{K}^n)$.

2.21. Theorem. Non-Archimedean analog of the Bochner-Kolmogorov theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, X^a be its algebraically adjoint $\mathbf{K}$ -linear space (that is, of all linear mappings $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ not necessarily continuous). A mapping $\theta : X^a \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ is a characteristic functional of a probability measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ and it is defined on $C(X^a, X)$ if and only if θ satisfies Conditions 2.5(3,5) for $(X^a, \tau(X^a))$ and is pseudocontinuous on X^a .*

Proof. (I). For $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} X = \text{card}(\alpha) < \aleph_0$ a space X^a is isomorphic with $\mathbf{K}^\alpha$, hence the statement of this theorem for a measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ follows from Theorem 9.20[35] and Theorems 2.6 and 2.13 above, since $\theta(0) = 1$ and $|\theta(z)| \leq 1$ for each z .

(II). Now let $\alpha = \omega_0$. It remains to show that the conditions imposed on θ are sufficient, because their necessity follows from the modification of §2.5 (since X has an algebraic embedding into X^a). The space X^a is isomorphic with $\mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ which is the space of all $\mathbf{K}$ -valued functions defined on the Hamel basis Λ in X . Let J be a family of all non-void subsets in Λ . For each $A \in J$ there exists a functional $\theta_A : \mathbf{K}^A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $\theta_A(t) = \theta(\sum_{y \in A} t(y)y)$ for $t \in \mathbf{K}^A$. From the conditions imposed on θ it follows that $\theta_A(0) = 1$, θ_A is uniformly continuous and bounded on $\mathbf{K}^A$, moreover, due to 2.5(5) for each $c > 0$ there are n and $q > 0$ such that for each $j > n$ and $z \in \mathbf{K}^A$ the following inequality is satisfied:

$$(i) \quad |\theta_A(z) - \theta_j(z)| \leq cbq,$$

moreover, $L_j \supset \mathbf{K}^A$, q is independent from j , c and b . From (I) it follows that on $Bf(\mathbf{K}^A)$ there exists a probability measure μ_A such that $\hat{\mu}_A = \theta_A$. The family of measures $\{\mu_A : A \in J\}$ is consistent and bounded, since $\mu_A = \mu_E \circ (P_E^A)^{-1}$, if $A \subset E$, where $P_E^A : \mathbf{K}^E \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^A$ are the natural projectors. Indeed, this is accomplished due to Conditions (i), 2.5(5) for X^a and due to Theorem 9.20 [35].

In view of Theorem 2.19 on a cylindrical algebra of the space $\mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ there exists the unique measure μ such that $\mu_A = \mu \circ (P^A)^{-1}$ for each $A \in J$, where $P^A : \mathbf{K}^\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^A$ are the natural projectors. From $X^a = \mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ it follows that μ is defined on $C(X^a, X)$. For μ on $C(X^a, X)$ there exists its extension on $Af(X, \mu)$ such that $Af(X, \mu) \supset Bco(X)$ (see §2.1).

2.22. For $f \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ and $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measure μ let

$$\int_X f(x) \mu_*(dx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n(x) \mu_*(dx)$$

for norm-bounded sequence of cylindrical functions g_n from $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ converging to f uniformly on compact subsets of X . Due to the Lebesgue converging theorem this limit exists and does not depend on a choice of $\{g_n : n\}$.

Lemma. *A sequence of a weak distribution (μ_{L_n}) of probability Radon measures is generated by a $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued probability measure μ on $Bco(X)$ of a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ if and only if there exists*

$$(i) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \int_X G_\xi(x) \mu_*(dx) = 1,$$

where $\int_X G_\xi(x) \mu_*(dx) := S_\xi(\{\mu_{L_n} : n\})$ and

$S_\xi(\{\mu_{L_n}\}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_n} F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x) \mu_{L_n}(dx)$, $\gamma_{\xi,n}(y) := \prod_{l=1}^{m(n)} \gamma_\xi(y_l)$, F_n is a Fourier transformation by $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $y = (y_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$, $y_j \in \mathbf{K}$, $\gamma_\xi(y) := C(\xi) s^{-2 \min(0, \text{ord}_p(y, \xi))}$, $C(\xi) \in \mathbf{K}_s$, $\gamma_\xi : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, $y, \xi \in \mathbf{K}$, $\nu_\xi(\mathbf{K}) = 1$, $\nu_\xi(dy) = \gamma_\xi(dy) w(dy)$, $w : Bco(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ is the Haar measure; here $m(n) = \dim_{\mathbf{K}} L_n < \aleph_0$, $cl(\bigcup_n L_n) = X = c_0(\omega_0, K)$.

Proof is quite analogous to that of §I.2.30 with the substitution of $|\int_X G_\xi(x) \mu_*(dx) - 1| < c/2$ for real-valued measures on $||G_\xi(x)|| - 1| < c/2$ for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures.

2.23. Notes and definitions. Suppose X is a locally convex space over a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ with non-trivial non-Archimedean valuation and X^* is a topologically adjoint space. For a $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measure μ on X a completion of a linear space of characteristic functions $\{ch_U : U \in Bco(X)\}$ in $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ is denoted by $B_\mu(X)$. Then X is called a KS -space if on X^* there exists a topology τ such that the continuity of $f : X^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ with $\|f\|_{C^0} < \infty$ is necessary and sufficient for f to be a characteristic functional of a tight measure of the finite norm. Such topology is called the K -Sazonov type topology. The class of KS -spaces contains all separable locally convex

spaces over $\mathbf{K}$. For example, $l^\infty(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) = c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})^*$. In particular we also write $c_0(\mathbf{K}) := c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ and $l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) := l^\infty(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$, where ω_0 is the first countable ordinal.

Let $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ denotes the weakest topology on l^∞ for which all functionals $p_x(y) := \sup_n |x_n y_n|$ are continuous, where $x = \sum_n x_n e_n \in c_0$ and $y = \sum_n y_n e_n^* \in l^\infty$, e_n is the standard base in c_0 . Such topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ is called the normal topology. The induced topology on c_0 is denoted by $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$.

2.24. Theorem. *Let $f : l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ be a functional such that*

(i) $f(0) = 1$ and $\|f\|_{C^0} \leq 1$,

(ii) f is continuous in the normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$, then f is the characteristic functional of a probability measure on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. If ν is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}}$, then on $Bco(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}})$ it takes values in $\mathbf{Q}$. Therefore, Lemma 4.1 [32] is transferable onto the case of $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures, since $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{K}_s$. Therefore, analogously to Equation (4.1) of Lemma 4.2 [32] we have

$$(i) \ P\{|V_1|_{\mathbf{K}} < \epsilon, \dots, |V_n|_{\mathbf{K}} < \epsilon\} = \nu^{-1}(B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}}, 0, p^{-m})) \int_{\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}}} f_V(y) ch_{B(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{n}}, 0, p^{-m})}(y) \nu(dy)$$

for measurable maps $V_j : (\Omega, \mathbf{B}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbf{K}, Bco(\mathbf{K}))$, where $(\Omega, \mathbf{B}, P)$ is a probability space for a probability measure P with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ on an algebra $\mathbf{B}$ of subsets of a set Ω , f_W is a characteristic function of $W = (V_1, \dots, V_n)$. To continue the proof we need the following statements.

2.25. Lemma *Let $f : c_0(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ be a function satisfying the following two conditions:*

(i) $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$,

(ii) f is continuous at zero in the topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$,

then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda(\epsilon) \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$ such that $|1 - f(x)| < p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) + \epsilon$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. In view of continuity for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $y(\epsilon) \in c_0$ such that $|1 - f(x)| < \epsilon$ if $p_{y(\epsilon)} < 1$. Put $\lambda(\epsilon) = \pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1} y(\epsilon)$, where $\pi_{\mathbf{K}} \in \mathbf{K}$ is such that $|\pi_{\mathbf{K}}| = p^{-1}$. If $x \in c_0$ is such that $p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) < p^{-1}$, then $|1 - f(x)| < \epsilon \leq \epsilon + p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x)$. If $p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) \geq p$, then $|1 - f(x)| \leq 2 \leq p < p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) + \epsilon$.

2.26. Lemma. *Let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a sequence of $\mathbf{K}$ -valued random variables for P with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$. If for each $\beta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbf{N}$ such that*

$$(i) \ \|P|_{\{\sup_{n \geq N_\epsilon} |V_n|_{\mathbf{K}} \leq \beta\}}\| \geq 1 - \epsilon(1 + \beta^{-1}),$$

then $\lim_n V_n = 0$ P -a.e. on Ω .

Proof is quite analogous to that of Lemma 4.4 [32] with substitution of P on $\|P\|$.

2.27. Proposition. *Let $f : c_0(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ be a function such that*

(i) $f(0) = 1$ and $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for each $x \in c_0$,

(ii) $f(x)$ is continuous in the normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$. Then there exists a probability measure μ on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$ such that $f(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$ for each $x \in c_0$.

Proof. Consider functions $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n)$, where $x = \sum_j x_j e_j \in c_0$. From Condition (ii) and Proposition 3.1(2) [32] it follows, that $f(x)$ is continuous in the norm topology. From Chapters 7,9 [35] it follows, that there exists a consistent family of tight measures μ_n on $\mathbf{K}^n$ such that $\hat{\mu}_n(x) = f_n(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbf{K}^n$. In view of Theorem 2.19 there exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbf{B}, P)$ with a $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measure P and a sequence of random variables $\{V_n\}$ such that $\mu_n(A) = P\{\omega \in \Omega : (V_1(\omega), \dots, V_n(\omega)) \in A\}$ for each clopen subset A in $\mathbf{K}^n$, consequently, $\lim_n V_n = 0$ P -a.e. in Ω . In view of the preceding lemmas we have the following inequality:

$$|1 - \|P\|_{(|V_n| < \beta, \dots, |V_{n+m}| < \beta)}| \leq \|p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(y_1 e_n + \dots + y_m e_{n+m})\|_{L(B(\mathbf{K}^n, 0, \beta^{-1}), \nu, \mathbf{K}_s)}.$$

Since $\lim_k p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(e_k) = 0$, then there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sup_{k \geq N} p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(e_k) \leq \epsilon$, consequently, $\|P\|_{(|V_N| < \beta, \dots, |V_{N+m}| < \beta)} \geq 1 - \epsilon(1 + \beta^{-1})$. Due to Lemma 2.34 $\|P\|_{\{\lim_n V_n = 0\}} = 1$. Define a measurable mapping W from Ω into c_0 by the following formula: $W(\omega) := \sum_n V_n(\omega) e_n$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$, then we also define a measure $\mu(A) := P\{W^{-1}(B)\}$ for each $A \in Bco(X)$, hence μ is a probability measure on c_0 . In view of the Lebesgue convergence theorem (see Chapter 7 [35]) there exists $\hat{\mu}(x) = \lim_n \hat{\mu}_n(x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n) = f(x)$ for each $x \in c_0$.

Continuation of the proof of Theorem 2.24. Let $f : l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ satisfies assumption of Theorem 2.24, then by Proposition 2.27 there exists a probability measure μ on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$ such that $f(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

2.28. Theorem. *Let μ be a probability measure on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$, then $\hat{\mu}$ is continuous in the normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ on l^∞ .*

Proof. It is quite analogous to that of I.2.33 due to Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.19.

2.29. Corollary. *The normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ is the K -Sazonov type topology on $l^\infty(\mathbf{K})$.*

2.30. Theorem. Non-Archimedean analog of the Minlos-Sazonov theorem. *For a separable Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ the following two condi-*

tions are equivalent:

(I) $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ satisfies Conditions 2.5(3, 5) and

for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact operator $S_c : X \rightarrow X$ such that $|\theta(y) - \theta(x)| < c$ for $|\tilde{z}(S_c z)| < 1$;

(II) θ is a characteristic functional of a probability Radon measure μ

on E , where $\tilde{z}$ is an element $z \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ considered as an element of X^* under the natural embedding associated with the standard base of $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$, $z = x - y$, x and y are arbitrary elements of X .

Proof. (II $\rightarrow$ I). For θ generated by a $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measure for each $r > 0$ we have $|\theta(0) - \theta(x)| = |\int_X (1 - \chi_e(x(u))) \mu(du)| \leq \|(1 - \chi_e(x(u)))\|_{B(X,0,r)} \|\mu\| + 2\|\mu|_{(X \setminus B(X,0,r))}\|$. In view of the Radon property of the space X and Lemma I.2.5 for each $b > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there are a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L in X and a compact subset $W \subset X$ such that $W \subset L^\delta$, $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus W)}\| < b$, hence $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus L^\delta)}\| < b$.

We consider the expression $J(j, l)$ (see §I.2.35). and the compact operator $S : X \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{e}_j(Se_l) = \xi_{j,l}t$. Then $|\theta(0) - \theta(z)| < c/2 + |\tilde{z}(Sz)| < c$ for the $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measure, if $|\tilde{z}(Sz)| < |t|c/2$. We choose r such that $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus B(X,0,r))}\| < c/2$ with S corresponding to $(r_j : j)$, where $r_1 = r$, $L_1 = L$, then we take $t \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|t|c = 2$.

(I $\rightarrow$ II). Without restriction of generality we may take $\theta(0) = 1$ after renormalization of non-trivial θ . In view of Theorem 2.24 as in §2.5 we construct using $\theta(z)$ a consistent family of finite-dimensional distributions $\{\mu_{L_n} : n\}$ all with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$. Let m_{L_n} be the $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued Haar measure on L_n which is considered as $\mathbf{Q}_p^a$ with $a = \dim_{\mathbf{K}} L_n \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{K}$, $m(B(L_n, 0, 1)) = 1$. If S_c is a compact operator such that $|\theta(y) - \theta(x)| < c$ for $|\tilde{z}(S_c z)| < 1$, $z = x - y$, then $|1 - \theta(x)| < \max(C, 2|\tilde{x}(S_c x)|)$ and $\|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)(1 - \theta(z))\|_{m_{L_n}} \leq \max(\|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)\|_{m_{L_n}} C, 2|(\gamma_{\xi,n}(z))\tilde{z}(S_c z)|_{m_{L_n}}) \leq \max(C, b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2)$, where $b := p \times \sup_{|\xi| > r} (|\xi|^2 \|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)z^2\|_{m_{L_n}}) < \infty$ for the $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures. Due to the formula of changing variables in integrals (A.7[36]) the following equality is valid:

$$|1 - \|G_\xi(x)\|_{\mu_*}| \leq \max(C, b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2)$$

for the $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures. Then taking the limit with $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ and then with $c \rightarrow +0$ with the help of Lemma 2.22 we get the statement (I $\rightarrow$ II).

2.31. Definition. Let on a completely regular space X with $\text{ind}(X) = 0$ two non-zero $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures μ and ν are given. Then ν is called absolutely continuous relative to μ if there exists f such that $\nu(A) = \int_A f(x)\mu(dx)$ for each $A \in \text{Bco}(X)$, where $f \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ and it is denoted $\nu \ll \mu$. Measures ν and μ are singular to each other if there is $F \in E$ with $\|X \setminus F\|_\mu = 0$ and $\|F\|_\nu = 0$ and it is denoted $\nu \perp \mu$. If $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\mu \ll \nu$ then they are called equivalent, $\nu \sim \mu$.

2.32. Definition and note. For $\mu : E(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ a sequence $(\phi_n(x) : n) \subset L(\mu)$ is called a martingale if for each $\psi \in L(\mu|U_n)$:

$$(i) \quad \int_X \phi_{n+1}(x)\psi(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X \phi_n(x)\psi(x)\mu(dx)$$

such that $(\phi_n : n)$ is uniformly converging on $Af(X, \mu)$ -compact subsets in X , where U_n is the minimal algebra such that $(\phi_j : j = 1, \dots, n) \subset L(\mu|U_n)$, $\mu|U_n$ is a restriction of μ on $U_n \subset E(X)$, X is the Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$.

In view of §§7.10 and 7.12[35] for $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$ the $Af(X, \mu)$ -topology on compact subspaces $X_c := [x \in X : N_\mu(x) \geq c]$ coincides with the initial topology, if μ is defined on E such that $\text{Bco}(X) \subset E \subset Af(X, \mu)$, where $c > 0$.

2.33. Theorem. *If there is a martingale $(\phi_n : n)$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ and $\sup_n \|\phi_n\|_{N_\mu} < \infty$, then there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x) =: \phi(x) \in L(\mu)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi(x)$ be a characteristic function of a clopen subset in X , then for each ϕ_n there exists a sequence of simple functions $(\phi_n^j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi_n^j\|_{N_\mu} = 0$. From $\|\phi_n - \phi_n^{j(n)}\|_{N_\mu} < c$ and 2.32.(i) it follows that $|\int_X (\phi_{n+1}^{j(n+1)}(x) - \phi_n^{j(n)}(x))\psi(x)\mu(dx)| < c\|\psi\|_{N_\mu}$ for each $\psi \in L(\mu)$, consequently, $\|\phi_{n+1}^{j(n+1)} - \phi_n^{j(n)}\|_{N_\mu} < c$ and there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n^{j(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = \phi \in L(\mu)$ due to the Lebesgue theorem, if $(c = c(n) = s^{-n} : n \in \mathbf{N})$, where for each ϕ_n is chosen $j(n) \in \mathbf{N}$, since $(\phi_n^{j(n)} : n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $L(\mu)$ due to the ultrametric inequality.

3 Quasi-invariant measures.

In this section after few preliminary statements there are given the definition of a quasi-invariant measure and the theorems about quasi-invariance of measures relative to transformations of a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$.

3.1. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $(L_n : n)$ be a sequence of subspaces, $cl(\bigcup_n L_n) = X$, $L_n \subset L_{n+1}$ for each n , μ^j be probability measures, $\mu^2 \ll \mu^1$, $(\mu_{L_n}^j)$ be sequences of weak distributions, also let there exist derivatives $\rho_n(x) = \mu_{L_n}^2(dx)/\mu_{L_n}^1(dx)$ and the following limit $\rho(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(x)$ exists.

Theorem. *If μ^j are $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued and $[\rho_n(P_{L_n}x) : n]$ converges uniformly on $Af(X, \mu^1)$ -compact subsets in X , $\sup_n \|\rho_n\|_{N_{\mu^1}} < \infty$, then this is equivalent to the following: $\rho(x) = \mu^2(dx)/\mu^1(dx) \in L(\mu^1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho(x) - \rho_n(P_{L_n}x)\|_{N_{\mu^1}} = 0$.*

Proof For each $A \in Bco(L)$ the equality is accomplished:

$$\mu_L^2(A) = \int_A \rho_L(x) \mu_L^1(dx) = \int_{P_L^{-1}(A)} \rho_L(P_L x) \mu^1(dx).$$

Then for each $\psi \in L(\mu^1 | P_L^{-1}[Bco(L)])$ we have

$$\int_X \psi(x) \mu^2(dx) = \int_X \rho_L(P_L x) \psi(x) \mu^1(dx), \text{ consequently,}$$

$$\int_X \rho_{n+1}(x) \psi(x) \mu^1(dx) = \int_X \psi(x) \mu^2(dx) = \int_X \rho_n(x) \psi(x) \mu^1(dx),$$

where $\rho_{L_n} = \rho_n$, $\psi \in L(\mu^1 | P_{L_{n+1}}^{-1}[Bco(L_{n+1})])$. From Theorem 2.33 and Definition 2.31 the statement follows.

3.2. Theorem. (A). *Measures $\mu^j : E \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, $j = 1, 2$, for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ are orthogonal $\mu^1 \perp \mu^2$ if and only if $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2}(x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$.*

(B). *If for measures $\mu^j : E \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ on a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ is satisfied $\rho(x) = 0$ for each x with $N_{\mu^1}(x) > 0$, then $\mu^1 \perp \mu^2$; the same is true for a completely regular space X with $ind(X) = 0$ and $\rho(x) = \mu^2(dx)/\mu^1(dx) = 0$ for each x with $N_{\mu^1}(x) > 0$.*

Proof. (A). From Definition 2.31 it follows that there exists $F \in E$ with $\|X \setminus F\|_{\mu^1} = 0$ and $\|F\|_{\mu^2} = 0$. In view of Theorems 7.6 and 7.20[39] the characteristic function ch_F of the set F belongs to $L(\mu^1) \cap L(\mu^2)$ such that $N_{\mu^j}(x)$ are semi-continuous from above, $\|ch_F\|_{N_{\mu^2}} = 0$, $\|ch_{X \setminus F}\|_{N_{\mu^1}} = 0$, consequently, $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2}(x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$.

On the other hand, if $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2}(x) = 0$ for each x , then for $F := [x \in X : N_{\mu^2}(x) = 0]$ due to Theorem 7.2 [35] $\|F\|_{\mu^2} = \|ch_F\|_{N_{\mu^2}} = 0$. Moreover, in view of Theorem 7.6[35] $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{s^{-n}}$, where $U_c := [x \in X : N_{\mu^2}(x) < c]$

are open in X , hence $ch_F \in L(\mu^1) \cap L(\mu^2)$ and $N_{\mu^1}|_{(X \setminus F)} = 0$, consequently, $\|X \setminus F\|_{\mu^1} = 0$.

(B). In view of Theorem 2.19 for each $A \in P_{L_n}^{-1}[E(L_n)]$ and $m > n$: $\int_A \rho_m(x) \mu^1(dx) = \mu^2(A)$, then from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho(x) - \rho_n(P_{L_n}x)\|_{N_{\mu^1}} = 0$ and Conditions 2.1.(i-iii) on μ^2 Statement (B) follows.

3.3. Note. The Radon-Nikodym theorem is not valid for μ^j with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, so not all theorems for real-valued measures may be transferred onto this case. Therefore, the definition of absolute continuity of measures was changed (see §2.31 and [38]).

3.4. Theorem. Let measures μ^j and ν^j be with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ on $Bco(X_j)$ for a Banach space X_j over $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mu = \mu^1 \otimes \mu^2$, $\nu = \nu^1 \otimes \nu^2$ on $X = X_1 \otimes X_2$, therefore, the statement $\nu \ll \mu$ is equivalent to $\nu^1 \ll \mu^1$ and $\nu^2 \ll \mu^2$, moreover, $\nu(dx)/\mu(dx) = (\nu^1(P_1 dx)/\mu^1(P_1 dx))(\nu^2(P_2 dx)/\mu^2(P_2 dx))$, where $P_j : X \rightarrow X_j$ are projectors.

Proof follows from Theorem 7.15[35] and modification of the proof of Theorem 5 §15[39].

3.5. Theorem. The non-Archimedean analog of the Kakutani theorem. Let $X = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ be a product of completely regular spaces X_j with $ind(X_j) = 0$ and probability measures $\mu^j, \nu^j : E(X_j) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, also let $\mu_j \ll \nu_j$ for each j , $\nu = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_j$, $\mu = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j$ are measures on $E(X)$, $\rho_j(x) = \mu_j(dx)/\nu_j(dx)$ are continuous by $x \in X_j$, $\prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j(x_j) =: t_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $Af(X, \mu)$ -compact subsets in X , $\beta_j := \|\rho_j(x)\|_{\phi_j}$, $\phi_j(x) := N_{\nu_j}(x)$ on X_j . If $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j$ converges in $(0, \infty)$ (or diverges to 0), then $\mu \ll \nu$ and $q_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j(x_j)$ converges in $L(X, \nu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ to $q(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_j(x_j) = \mu(dx)/\nu(dx)$ (or $\mu \perp \nu$ respectively), where $x_j \in X_j$, $x \in X$.

Proof. The countable additivity of ν and μ follows from Theorem 2.19. Then $\beta_j = \|\rho_j\|_{\phi_j} \leq \|\rho_j\|_{N_{\nu_j}} = \|X\|_{\mu_j} = 1$, since $N_{\nu_j} \leq 1$ for each $x \in X_j$, hence $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j$ can not be divergent to ∞ . If this product diverges to 0 then there exists a sequence $\epsilon_b := \prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \beta_j$ for which the series converges $\sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_b < \infty$, where $n(b) \leq m(b)$. For $A_b := [x : (\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \rho_j(x_j)) \geq 1]$ there are estimates $\|A_b\|_{\nu} \leq \sup_{x \in A_b} [\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} |\rho_j(x_j)| \phi_j(x_j)] \leq \epsilon_b$, consequently, $\|A\|_{\nu} = 0$ for $A = \limsup(A_b : b \rightarrow \infty)$, since $0 < \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_b < \infty$.

For $B_b := X \setminus A_b$ we have: $\|B_b\|_{\mu} \leq [\sup_{x \in B_b} \prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} |1/\rho_j(x_j)| \psi(x_j)] = [\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \|\rho_j(x_j)\|_{\phi_j}] = \epsilon_b$, where $\psi_j(x) = N_{\mu_j}(x)$, since $\mu_j(dx_j) = \rho_j(x_j) \nu_j(dx_j)$ and $N_{\mu_j}(x) = |\rho_j(x_j)| N_{\nu_j}(x)$ due to continuity of $\rho_j(x_j)$ (for $\rho_j(x_j) = 0$ we

set $|1/\rho_j(x_j)|\psi_j(x_j) = 0$, because $\psi_j(x_j) = 0$ for such x_j , consequently, $\|\limsup(B_b : b \rightarrow \infty)\|_\mu = 0$ and $\|A\|_\mu \geq \|\liminf(A_b : b \rightarrow \infty)\|_\mu = 1$. This means that $\mu \perp \nu$.

Suppose that $\prod_{j=1}^\infty \beta_j$ converges to $0 < \beta < \infty$, then $\beta \leq 1$ (see above). Therefore from the Lebesgue Theorem 7.F[35] it follows that $t_n(x)$ converges in $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$, since $|t_n(x)| \leq 1$ for each x and n , at the same time each $t_n(x)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets in the topology generated by $Af(X, \mu)$. Then for each bounded continuous cylindrical function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f(x) \mu(dx) &= \int_X f(x_1, \dots, x_n) t_n(x) \otimes_{j=1}^n \nu_j(dx_j) = \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f(x) t_n(x) \nu(dx) &= \int_X \rho(x) \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Approximating arbitrary $h \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ by such f we get the equality

$$\int_X h(x) \mu(dx) = \int_X h(x) \rho(x) \nu(dx),$$

consequently, $\rho(x) = \mu(dx)/\nu(dx)$.

3.6. Theorem. *Let ν, μ, ν_j, μ_j be probability measures with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, X and X_j be the same as in §3.5 and $\mu \ll \nu$, then $\mu_j \ll \nu_j$ for each j and $\prod_{j=1}^\infty \beta_j$ converges to β , $\infty > \beta > 0$, where $\beta_j = \|\rho_j\|_{\phi_j}$, $\phi_j(x) = N_{\nu_j}(x)$.*

Proof. For $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures from $P_j^{-1}(Bco(X_j)) \subset Bco(X)$ it follows that $\mu_j \ll \nu_j$ for each j , since $\prod_1^\infty \rho_j(x_j) = \rho(x) \in L(X, \nu)$ and $\rho_j(x_j) \in L(X_j, \nu_j)$, where $x_j = P_j x$, $P_j : X \rightarrow X_j$ are projectors. Then $\rho(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_1^n \rho_j(P_j x)$ and $\|\rho(x)\|_{N_\nu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_j\|_{N_{\nu_j}}$. Since $N_{\nu_j} \leq 1$, then $\phi_j(x) \leq N_{\nu_j}(x)$ and for $\phi = N_\nu$, consequently, $\|\rho(x)\|_\phi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\rho_j\|_{\phi_j} \leq \|\rho\|_{N_\nu} = 1$ (due to the definition of the Tihonov topology in X [see §2.3[11]] and definition of $\|\cdot\|_\phi$). If $\|\rho\|_\phi = 0$, then $\|\rho\|_{N_\nu} = 0$ and by Theorem 3.2(B) this would mean that $\nu \perp \mu$ or $\mu = 0$, but $\mu \neq 0$, hence $\beta > 0$.

3.7. Definition. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, Y be a completely regular space with $ind(X) = 0$, $\nu : Bco(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, $\mu^y : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ for each $y \in Y$, suppose $\mu^y(A) \in L(Y, \nu)$ for each $A \in Bco(X)$, $\|Y\|_\nu < \infty$, $\sup_{y \in Y} \|X\|_{\mu^y} < \infty$, a family $(\mu^y(A_n) : n)$ is converging uniformly by $y \in C$ on each $Af(Y, \nu)$ -compact subset C in Y for each given shrinking family of subsets $(A_n : n) \subset X$. Then we define:

$$(i) \mu(A) = \int_Y \mu_y(A) \nu(dy).$$

A measure μ is called mixed. Evidently, Condition 2.1(i) is fulfilled; (ii): $\|A\|_\mu \leq (\sup_{y \in Y} \|A\|_{\mu^y}) \|A\|_\nu < \infty$; (iii) is carried out due to the Lebesgue theorem, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \int_Y (\lim_n \mu^y(A_n)) \nu(dy) = 0$. We define measures π^j by the formula:

$$(ii) \quad \pi^j(A \times C) = \int_C \mu^{j,y}(A) \nu^j(dy),$$

where $j = 1, 2$ and $\mu^{j,y}$ together with ν^j are defined as above μ^y and ν .

3.8. Theorem. *Let μ^j be $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures and π^j , X and Y be the same as in §3.7.*

(A). *If $\pi^2 \ll \pi^1$, then $\nu^2 \ll \nu^1$ and $\mu^{2,y} \ll \mu^{1,y} \pmod{\nu^2}$.*

(B). *If $\nu^2 \ll \nu^1$ and $\mu^{2,y} \ll \mu^{1,y} \pmod{\nu^2}$ and a $Bco(X \times Y, \pi^1)$ -measurable function $\tilde{\rho}(y, x) = \mu^{2,y}(dx)/\mu^{1,y}(dx) \in L(X \times Y, \pi^1)$ exists, then $\pi^2 \ll \pi^1$ and $\pi^2(d(x, y))/\pi^1(d(x, y)) = (\nu^2(dy)/\nu^1(dy))\tilde{\rho}(y, x)$.*

Proof. (A). From the conditions imposed on $\mu^{j,y}$ and ν^j it follows that for each $\phi \in L(X \times Y, \pi^j)$ due to Theorem 7.15[35] the following equality is accomplished

$$\int_{X \times Y} \phi(x, y) \pi^j(d(x, y)) = \int_Y \left[\int_X \phi(x, y) \mu^{j,y}(dx) \right] \nu^j(dy),$$

also $\rho(y, x) = \pi^2(d(x, y))/\pi^1(d(x, y)) \in L(X \times Y, \pi^1)$, hence $\nu^2(dy)/\nu^1(dy) = [\int_X \rho(y, x) \mu^{1,y}(dx)] \in L(Y, \nu^1)$. Further we modify the proof of Theorem 1 §15[39]. Then $\tilde{\rho}(y, x)$ may be defined for ν^2 -almost all y by $\tilde{\rho}(y, x) = \rho(y, x) / \int_X \rho(y, x) \mu^{1,y}(dx) \in L(X, \mu^{1,y})$.

(B). Let $A \in Bco(X) \times Bco(Y)$, $A_y := [y : (x, y) \in A]$, then $\pi^j(A) = \int_Y \mu^{j,y}(A_y) \nu^j(dy)$. If $\|A\|_{\pi^1} = 0$, then $\|A_y\|_{\mu^{1,y}} N_{\nu^1}(y) = 0$ for each $y \in Y$, consequently, $\|A\|_{\pi^2} = 0$, since $\nu^2(dy)/\nu^1(dy) \in L(\nu^1)$, $\mu^{2,y}(dx)/\mu^{1,y}(dx) \in L(\mu^{1,y})$, $\tilde{\rho} \in L(X \times Y, \pi^1)$ and Conditions (i, ii) in §3.7 are satisfied. From this it follows that $\pi^2(d(x, y))/\pi^1(d(x, y)) \in L(X \times Y, \pi^1)$, since $\nu^2(dy)/\nu^1(dy) \in L(X \times Y, \pi^1)$ with $\sup_y \|X\|_{\mu^{j,y}} < \infty$.

3.9. Definition. For a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ an element $a \in X$ is called an admissible shift of a measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, if $\mu_a \ll \mu$, where $\mu_a(A) = \mu(S_{-a}A)$ for each A in $E \supset Bco(X)$, $S_a A := a + A$, $\rho(a, x) := \rho_\mu(a, x) := \mu_a(dx)/\mu(dx)$, $M_\mu := [a \in X : \mu_a \ll \mu]$ (see §§2.1 and 2.31).

3.10. Properties of M_μ and ρ from §3.9.

I. *The set M_μ is a semigroup by addition, $\rho(a + b, x) = \rho(a, x)\rho(b, x - a)$ for each $a, b \in M_\mu$.*

Proof. For each continuous bounded $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$: $\int_X f(x)\mu_{a+b}(dx) = \int_X f(x+a+b)\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x+a)\rho(b, x)\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x)\rho(b, x-a)\rho(a, x)\mu(dx)$, since $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$ and $f(x)\rho(b, x-a) \in L(\mu)$, consequently, $\rho(b, x-a)\rho(a, x) = \rho(a+b, x) \in L(\mu)$ as a function of x and $\mu_{a+b} \ll \mu$.

II. If $a \in M_\mu$, $\rho(a, x) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu}$, then $\mu_a \sim \mu$, $-a \in M_\mu$ and $\rho(-a, x) = 1/\rho(a, x-a)$.

Proof. For each continuous bounded $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$: $\int_X f(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x)[\rho(a, x)/\rho(a, x)]\mu(dx) = \int_X [\mu_a(dx)/\mu(dx)]^{-1}\mu_a(dx)$, since $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$, hence $\mu_a \sim \mu$.

III. If $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\nu(dx)/\mu(dx) = g(x)$, then $M_\mu \cap M_\nu = M_\mu \cap [a : \mu([x : g(x) = 0, g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x) \neq 0]) = 0]$ and $\rho_\nu(a, x) = [g(x-a)/g(x)]\rho_\mu(a, x) \pmod{\nu}$ for $a \in M_\mu \cap M_\nu$.

Proof. For each continuous bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$: $a \in M_\mu$ and $\int_X f(x+a)\nu(dx) = \int_X f(x)g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x)\mu(dx)$ such that $\mu([x : g(x) = 0, g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x) \neq 0]) = 0$ we have $\int_X f(x+a)\nu(dx) = \int_X f(x)[g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x)/g(x)]\nu(dx)$, since $\|X\|_\nu + \|X\|_\mu < \infty$, $N_\nu(x) = \inf_{B \in \text{Co}(X) \supset U \ni x} \sup_{y \in U} [g(y)|N_\mu(y)]$, consequently, $a \in M_\mu \cap M_\nu$. If $a \in M_\mu \cap M_\nu$, then

$$\int_X f(x)\rho_\nu(a, x)g(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x)g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x)\mu(dx),$$

consequently, $\rho_\nu(a, x)g(x) = g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x) \pmod{\mu}$ and $\mu([x : g(x) = 0, g(x-a)\rho_\mu(a, x) \neq 0]) = 0$.

IV. If $\nu \sim \mu$, then $M_\nu = M_\mu$.

V. For μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ and $X = K^m$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$ a family M_μ with a distance function $r(a, b) = \|\rho(a, x) - \rho(b, x)\|_{N_{\mu(x)}}$ is a complete pseudoultrametrizable space.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subset M_\mu$ be a Cauchy sequence relative to r , then (a_n) is bounded in X by $\|*\|_X$, since for $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|a_{n_j}\| = \infty$ and $r(a_{n_j}, a_{n_{j+1}}) < p^{-j}$ for $f \in L(\mu)$ with a compact support we have $\|f(x+a_{n_j}) - f(x+a_{n_{j+1}})\|_{N_\mu} < 1/p$. Then for f with $\|f(x+a_{n_1})\|_{N_\nu} > 1/2$ and $\|f\|_{N_\nu} = 1$ we get a contradiction: $\lim_j \|f(x+a_{n_j})\|_{N_\mu} > 1/2 - 1/p \geq 0$. This is impossible because of compactness of $\text{supp}(f)$. Therefore, (a_n) is bounded, consequently, there exists a subsequence $(a_{n_j}) =: (b_j)$ weakly converging in X to $b \in X$. Therefore, $\theta_j(z) = \int_X \chi_e(z(x+b_j))\mu(dx) \chi_e(z(b_j))\theta(z) = \int_X \chi_e(z(x))\rho(b_j, x)\mu(dx)$, $\lim_j z(b_j) = z(b)$ and $\lim_j \theta_j(z) = \chi_e(z(b))\theta(z)$ for each $z \in X'$. From Theorem 9.20 [35] it follows that there is $\rho \in L(\mu)$ with $\lim_j \|\rho(b_j, x) - \rho(x)\|_{N_\mu} = 0$, since $L(\mu)$ is the Banach space and μ_j corresponding to θ_j converges in the

Banach space $M(X)$. Therefore, $\int_X \chi_e(z(x)) \mu_b(dx) = \int_X \chi_e(z(x)) \rho(x) \mu(dx)$ for each $z \in X' = K^m$, consequently, $\rho(x) = \mu_b(dx)/\mu(dx)$.

3.11. Definition. For a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ and a measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, $a \in X$, $\|a\| = 1$, a vector a is called an admissible direction, if $a \in M_\mu^K := [z : \|z\|_X = 1, \lambda z \in M_\mu \text{ and } \rho(\lambda z, x) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu} \text{ (relative to } x)]$ and for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}] \subset X$. Let $a \in M_\mu^K$ we denote by $L_1 := \mathbf{K}a$, $X_1 = X \ominus L_1$, μ^1 and $\tilde{\mu}^1$ are the projections of μ onto L_1 and X_1 respectively, $\tilde{\mu} = \mu^1 \otimes \tilde{\mu}^1$ be a measure on $Bco(X)$, given by the the following equation $\tilde{\mu}(A \times C) = \mu^1(A) \tilde{\mu}^1(C)$ on $Bco(L_1) \times Bco(X_1)$ and extended on $Bco(X)$, where $A \in Bco(L_1)$ and $C \in Bco(X_1)$.

3.12. Definition and notes. A measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ is called a quasi-invariant measure if M_μ contains a $\mathbf{K}$ -linear manifold J_μ dense in X .

From §3.10 and Definition 3.11 it follows that $J_\mu \subset M_\mu^K$.

Let $(e_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ be orthonormal basis in X , $H = sp_{\mathbf{K}}(e_j : j)$. We denote $\Omega(Y) = [\mu | \mu \text{ is a measure with a finite total variation on } Bco(X) \text{ and } H \subset J_\mu]$, where $Y = \mathbf{K}_s$.

3.13. Theorem. *If $\mu : Bf(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is a σ -finite measure on $Bco(Y)$, Y is a complete separable ultrametrizable $\mathbf{K}$ -linear subspace such that $co(S)$ is nowhere dense in Y for each compact $S \subset Y$, where $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are infinite non-discrete non-Archimedean fields with multiplicative ultranorms $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{F}}$. Then from $J_\mu = Y$ it follows that $\mu = 0$.*

Proof. Since μ is σ -finite, then there are $(Y_j : j \in H) \subset Bco(Y)$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{j \in H} Y_j$ and $0 < \|\mu|_{Bco(Y_j)}\| \leq 1$ for each j , where $H \subset \mathbf{N}$, $Y_j \cap Y_l = \emptyset$ for each $j \neq l$. If $card(H) = \aleph_0$, then we define a function $f(x) = s^j / \|Y_j\|_\mu$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{F}$, where s is fixed with $0 < |s|_{\mathbf{F}} < 1$, $s \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we define a measure $\nu(A) = \int_A f(x) \mu(dx)$, $A \in Bco(Y)$. Therefore, $\|Y\|_\nu \leq 1$ and $J_\nu = Y$, since $f \in L(Y, \mu, \mathbf{F})$. Hence it is sufficient to consider μ with $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ and $\mu(Y) = 1$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ in view of the Radonian property of Y there exists a compact $X_n \subset Y$ such that $\|Y \setminus X_n\|_\mu < s^{-n}$. In Y there is a countable everywhere dense subset $(x_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$, hence $Y = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} B(Y, x_j, r_l)$ for each $r_l > 0$, where $B(Y, x, r_l) = [y \in Y : d(x, y) \leq r_l]$, d is an ultrametric in Y , i.e. $d(x, z) \leq \max(d(x, y), d(y, z))$, $d(x, z) = d(z, x)$, $d(x, x) = 0$, $d(x, y) > 0$ for $x \neq y$ for each $x, y, z \in Y$. Therefore, for each $r_l = 1/l$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $k(l) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|Y \setminus X_{n,l}\|_\mu < s^{-n-l}$ due to compactness of $Y_c = [y \in Y : N_\mu(y) \geq c]$ for each $c > 0$, where $X_{n,l} := \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(l)} B(Y, x_j, r_l)$,

consequently, $\|Y \setminus X_n\|_\mu \leq s^{-n}$ for $X_n := \bigcap_{l=1}^\infty X_{n,l}$. The subsets X_n are compact, since X_n are closed in Y and the metric d on X_n is completely bounded and Y is complete (see Theorems 3.1.2 and 4.3.29 [11]). Then $0 < \|X\|_\mu \leq 1$ for $\|Y \setminus X\|_\mu = 0$ and for $X := sp_K(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n)$.

The sets $\tilde{Y}_n = co(Y_n)$ are nowhere dense in Y for $Y_n = \bigcup_{l=1}^n X_l$, consequently, $sp_K Y_n$ are nowhere dense in Y . Moreover, $(Y \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n) \neq \emptyset$ is dense in Y due to the Baire category theorem (see 3.9.3 and 4.3.26 [11]). Therefore, $y + X \subset Y \setminus X$ for $y \in Y \setminus X$ and from $J_\mu = Y$ it follows that $\|X\|_\mu = 0$, since $\|y + X\|_\mu = 0$ (see §§2.32 and 3.12 above). Hence we get the contradiction, consequently, $\mu = 0$.

3.14. Corollary. *If Y is a Banach space or a complete countably-ultranormable infinite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ space, $\mu : Bco(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are the same as in §3.13 and $J_\mu = Y$, then $\mu = 0$.*

Proof. The space Y is evidently complete and ultrametrizable, since its topology is given by a countable family of ultranorms. Moreover, $co(S)$ is nowhere dense in Y for each compact S in Y , since $co(S) = cl(S_{bc})$ is compact in Y and does not contain in itself any open subset in Y due to §(5.7.5) in [34].

3.15. Theorem. *Let X be a separable Banach space over a locally compact infinite field $\mathbf{K}$ with a nontrivial valuation such that either $\mathbf{K} \supset \mathbf{Q}_p$ or $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$. Then there are probability measures μ on X with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ ($s \neq p$) such that μ are quasi-invariant relative to a dense $\mathbf{K}$ -linear subspace J_μ .*

Proof. Let $S(j, n) := p^j B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus p^{j+1} B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $j \leq n$, $S(n, n) := p^n B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$, w' be the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K}$ considered as the additive group (see [16, 35]) with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ for $s \neq p$. Then for each $c > 0$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there are measures m on $Bf(\mathbf{K})$ such that $m(dx) = f(x)v(dx)$, $|f(x)| > 0$ for each $x \in \mathbf{K}$ and $|m(p^n B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)) - 1| < c$, $m(\mathbf{K}) = 1$, $|m|(E) \leq 1$ for each $E \in Bco(\mathbf{K})$, where $v = w'$, $v(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)) = 1$. Moreover, we can choose f such that a density $m_a(dx)/m(dx) =: d(m; a, x)$ be continuous by $(a, x) \in \mathbf{K}^2$ and for each $c' > 0$, x and $|a| \leq p^{-n}$: $|d(m; a, x) - 1| < c'$. Let $f|_{S(j, n)} := a(j, n)$ be locally constant, for example, $a(j, n) = (1 - s)(1 - 1/p)s^{2n-1-j}p^{-n}$ for $j < n$, $a(n, n) = (1 - s^{-n})p^{-n}$. Then taking $f + h$ and using $h(x)$ with $0 < \sup_x |h(x)/f(x)| = c'' \leq 1/s^n$ we get $|y_a(dx)/y(dx)| = |m_a(dx)/m(dx)|$, where $y(dx) = (f + h)(x)m(dx)$.

Let $\{m(j; dx)\}$ be a family of measures on $\mathbf{K}$ with the corresponding sequence $\{k(j)\}$ such that $k(j) \leq k(j + 1)$ for each j and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k(i) =$

∞ , where $m(j; dx)$ corresponds to the partition $[S(i, k(j))]$. The Banach space X is isomorphic with $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ [35]. It has the orthonormal basis $\{e_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the projectors $P_j x = (x(1), \dots, x(j))$ onto $\mathbf{K}^j$, where $x = x(1)e_1 + x(2)e_2 + \dots$. Then there exists a cylindrical measure μ generated by a consistent family of measures $y(j, B) = b(j, E)$ for $B = P_j^{-1}E$ and $E \in Bf(\mathbf{K}^j)$ [5, 8] where $b(j, dz) = \otimes[m(j; dz(i)) : i = 1, \dots, j]$, $z = (z(1), \dots, z(j))$. Let $L := L(t, t(1), \dots, t(l); l) := \{x : x \in X \text{ and } |x(i)| \leq p^a, a = -t - t(i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l, \text{ and } a = -k(j) \text{ for } j > l\}$, then L is compact in X , since X is Lindelöf and L is sequentially compact [11]. Therefore, for each $c > 0$ there exists L such that $\|X \setminus L\|_\mu < c$ due to the choice of $a(j, n)$.

In view of the Prohorov theorem for measures with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ 7.6(ii)[35] and due to Lemma 2.3 μ has the countably-additive extension on $Bf(X)$, consequently, also on the complete σ -field $Af(X, \mu)$ and μ is the Radon measure.

Let $z' \in sp_{\mathbf{K}}\{e_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $z'' = \{z(j) : z(j) = 0 \text{ for } j \leq l \text{ and } z(j) \in S(n, n), j = 1, 2, \dots, n = k(j)\}$, $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $z = z' + z''$. Now take the restriction of μ on $Bco(X)$. In view of Theorems 2.19, 3.5 above and also I.1.4, II.4.1[35] there are $m(j; dz(j))$ such that $\rho_\mu(z, x) = \prod\{d(j; z(j), x(j)) : j = 1, 2, \dots\} = \mu_z(dx)/\mu(dx) \in L((X, \mu, Bco(X)), \mathbf{K}_s)$ for each such z and $x \in X$, where $d(j; *, *) = d(m(j; *), *, *)$ and $\mu_z(X) = \mu(X) = 1$.

3.16. Note. For a given $m = w'$ (see above) new suitable measures may be constructed, if to use images of measures $m^g(E) = m(g^{-1}(E))$ such that for a diffeomorphism $g \in Dif f^1(\mathbf{K})$ (see §A.3) we have $m^{g^{-1}}(dx)/m(dx) = |g'(g^{-1}(x))|_{\mathbf{K}}$, where $|*|_{\mathbf{K}} = mod_{\mathbf{K}}(*)$ is the modular function of the field $\mathbf{K}$ associated with the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K}$, at the same time $|*|_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the multiplicative norm in $\mathbf{K}$ consistent with its uniformity [45]. Indeed, for $\mathbf{K}$ and $X = \mathbf{K}^j$ with $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and the Haar measure $v = w'$ on X , $v_X := v$ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$ for $s \neq p$ and for a function $f \in L(X, v, \mathbf{K}_s)$ we have: $\int_{g(A)} f(x)v(dx) = \int_A f(g(y))|g'(y)|_{\mathbf{K}}v(dy)$, where $mod_{\mathbf{K}}(\lambda)v(dx) := v(\lambda dx)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$, since $v(B(X, 0, p^n)) \in \mathbf{Q}$, $N_v(x) = 1$ for each $x \in X$, consequently, from $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L(g(A), v, \mathbf{K}_s)$ whilst $k \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $f_k(g(x)) \rightarrow f(g(x))$ in $L(A, v, \mathbf{K}_s)$, where f_k are locally constant, A is compact and open in X .

Henceforward, quasi-invariant measure μ on $Bco(c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K}))$ constructed with the help of projective limits or sequences of weak distributions of probability measures $(\mu_{H(n)} : n)$ are considered, for example, as in Theorem 3.15 such that

(i) $\mu_{H(n)}(dx) = f_{H(n)}(x)v_{H(n)}(dx)$, $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} H(n) = m(n) < \aleph_0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $f_{H(n)} \in L(H(n), v_{H(n)}, \mathbf{K}_s)$, $H(n) \subset H(n+1) \subset \dots$, $cl(\bigcup_n H(n)) = c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$, if it is not specified in another manner.

For probability quasi-invariant measure with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, if shifts $x \mapsto x + y$ by $y \in H(n)$ are continuous from $H(n)$ to $\mathbf{M}(H(n))$ (see §2.1), that is, $y \rightarrow \mu_{H(n)}^y$, where $\mu_{H(n)}(y + A) =: \mu_{H(n)}^y(A)$ for $A \in Bco(H(n))$, then due to Theorem 8.9 [35] $\mu_{H(n)}$ satisfies (i).

As will be seen below such measures μ are quasi-invariant relative to families of the cardinality $\mathfrak{c} = \text{card}(\mathbf{R})$ of linear and non-linear transformations $U : X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, for each V open in X we have $\|V\|_\mu > 0$, when $f_{H(n)}(x) \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in H(n)$.

Let μ be a probability quasi-invariant measure satisfying (i) and $(e_j : j)$ be orthonormal basis in M_μ , $H(n) := sp_{\mathbf{K}}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$, we denote by

$$\hat{\rho}_\mu(a, x) = \hat{\rho}(a, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^n(P_n a, P_n x),$$

$\rho^n(P_n a, P_n x) := f_{H(n)}(P_n(x - a)) / f_{H(n)}(P_n x)$ for each a and x for which this limit exists and $\hat{\rho}(a, x) = 0$ in the contrary case, where $P_n : X \rightarrow H(n)$ are chosen consistent projectors. Let $\rho(a, x) = \hat{\rho}(a, x)$, if $\mu_a(X) = \mu(X)$ and $\hat{\rho}(a, x) \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$ as a function by x and $\|X\|_{N_\nu} = 1$, where $\nu(dx) := \hat{\rho}(a, x)\mu(dx)$, $\rho(a, x)$ is not defined when $\mu_a(X) = \mu(X)$ or $\|X\|_{N_\nu} \neq 1$, this condition of the equality to 1 may be satisfied, for example, for continuous $f_{H(n)}$ with continuous $\hat{\rho}(a, x) \in L(\mu)$ by x for each given a , if $\lim_n \rho^n(a, x)$ converges uniformly by x . If for some another basis $(\tilde{e}_j : j)$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ is accomplished

(ii) $\|X \setminus S\|_\mu = 0$, then $\rho(a, x)$ is called regularly dependent from a basis, where $S := \bigcap_{a \in M_\mu} [x : \rho(a, x) = \tilde{\rho}(a, x)]$.

3.17. Lemma. *Let μ be a probability measure, $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, suppose that for each basis $(\tilde{e}_j : j)$ in M_μ a quasi-invariance factor $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) *if $\tilde{\rho}(a_j, x)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, are defined for a given $x \in X$ and for each $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{K}$ then a function $\tilde{\rho}(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j, x)$ is continuous by λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$;*

(2) *there exists an increasing sequence of subspaces $H(n) \subset M_\mu$, $cl(\bigcup_n H(n)) = X$, with projectors $P_n : X \rightarrow H(n)$, $B \in Bf(X)$, $\|B\|_\mu = 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\rho}(P_n a, x) = \tilde{\rho}(a, x)$ for each $a \in M_\mu$ and $x \notin B$ for which is defined $\rho(a, x)$. Then $\rho(a, x)$ depends regularly from the basis.*

Proof. There exists a subset S dense in each $H(n)$, hence $\|B'\|_\mu = 0$ for $B' = \bigcup_{a \in S} [x : \rho(a, x) \neq \tilde{\rho}(a, x)]$. From (1) it follows that $\tilde{\rho}(a, x) = \rho(a, x)$ on each $H(n)$ for $x \notin B'$. From $sp_{\mathbf{K}} S \supset H(n)$ and (2) it follows that $\rho(a, x) =$

$\tilde{\rho}(a, x)$ for each $a \in M_\mu$ and $x \in X \setminus (B' \cup B)$, consequently, Condition 3.16.(ii) is satisfied, since from $\rho(a, x) \in L(\mu)$ it follows that $\tilde{\rho}(a, x) \in L(\mu)$ as the function by x .

3.18. Lemma. *If a probability quasi-invariant measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ satisfies Condition 3.16.(i), then there exists a compact operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $M_\mu \subset (TX)^\sim$, where X is the Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$.*

Proof. Products of dense measures are dense measures due to Theorem 7.28[35], whence for $\mu_{H(n)}(dx) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m(n)} \mu_{\mathbf{K}e(j)}(dx_j)$ is accomplished $N_{\mu_{H(n)}}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{m(n)} N_{\mu_{\mathbf{K}e(j)}}(x_j)$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m(n)})$, $x_j \in \mathbf{K}$. From Theorem 7.6[35] and Lemma I.2.5 it follows that for each $1 > c > 0$ there are $R_j = R_j(c)$ with $[x_j : N_{\mu_{\mathbf{K}e(j)}}(x_j) \geq c] \subset B(\mathbf{K}, 0, R_j)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} R_j = 0$. Choosing $c = c(n) = s^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and using $\prod_{j=1}^\infty \epsilon_j = 0$ whilst $0 < \epsilon_j < c < 1$ for each j we get that there exists a sequence $[r_j : j]$ for which $\text{card}[j : |a_j| > r_j] < \aleph_0$ for each $a \in M_\mu$, since $[x \in X : |x_j| \leq r_j \text{ for all } j]$ is a compact subgroup in X , where $a = (a_j : j)$, $a_j \in \mathbf{K}$, $r_j > 0$, $\lim_j r_j = 0$. Therefore, $M_\mu \subset (TX)^\sim$ for $T = \text{diag}(T_j : j)$ and $|T_j| \geq r_j$ for $j \in \mathbf{N}$.

3.19. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $|*|_{\mathbf{K}} = \text{mod}_{\mathbf{K}}(*)$, $U : X \rightarrow X$ be an invertible linear operator, $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ be a probability quasi-invariant measure.

The uniform convergence of a (transfinite) sequence of functions on $Af(V, \nu)$ -compact subsets of a topological space V is called the Egorov condition, where ν is a measure on V .

Theorem. *Let pairs $(x - Ux, x)$ and $(x - U^{-1}x, x)$ be in $\text{dom}(\tilde{\rho}(a, x))$, where $\text{dom}(f)$ denotes a domain of a function f , $\tilde{\rho}(x - Ux, x) \neq 0$, $\tilde{\rho}(x - U^{-1}x, x) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu}$ and μ satisfies Condition 3.16.(i), also $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P}_n(x - Ux), x) =: \tilde{\rho}_n(x) \in L(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{P}_n(x - U^{-1}x), x) =: \bar{\rho}_n(x) \in L(\mu)$ converge uniformly on $Af(X, \mu)$ -compact subsets in X such that there exists $g \in L(\mu)$ with $|\tilde{\rho}_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ and $|\bar{\rho}_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ for each $x \in X$ and each projectors $\tilde{P}_n X \rightarrow \tilde{H}(n)$ with $\text{cl}(\bigcup_n \tilde{H}(n)) = X$, $\tilde{H}(n) \subset \tilde{H}(n+1) \subset \dots$, that is, Egorov conditions are satisfied for $\tilde{\rho}_n$ and $\bar{\rho}_n$. Then $\nu \sim \mu$ and*

$$(i) \quad \nu(dx)/\mu(dx) = |\det(U)|_{\mathbf{K}} \tilde{\rho}(x - U^{-1}x, x),$$

if ρ depends regularly from the base, then $\tilde{\rho}$ may be substituted by ρ in formula (i), where $\nu(A) := \mu(U^{-1}A)$ for each $A \in Bco(X)$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.18 there exists a compact operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $M_\mu \subset (TX)^\sim$, consequently, $(U - I)$ is a compact operator, where I is the identity operator. From the invertibility of U it follows

that $(U^{-1} - I)$ is also compact, moreover, there exists $\det(U) \in \mathbf{K}$. Let g be a continuous bounded function, $g : \tilde{H}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, whence $\int_X \phi(x) \nu(dx) = \int_{\tilde{H}(n)} g(x) [f_{H(n)}(U^{-1}x) / f_{\tilde{H}(n)}(x)] |\det(U_n)|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu_{\tilde{H}(n)}(dx)$, for $\phi(x) = g(\tilde{P}_n x)$, where subspaces exist such that $\tilde{H}(n) \subset X$, $(U^{-1} - I)\tilde{H}(n) \subset \tilde{H}(n)$, $cl(\bigcup_n \tilde{H}(n)) = X$, $U_n := \hat{r}_n(U)$, $r_n = \tilde{P}_n : X \rightarrow \tilde{H}(n)$ (see §§I.3.8 and II.3.16), $\tilde{H}(n) \subset \tilde{H}(n+1) \subset \dots$ due to compactness of $(U - I)$. In view of the Lebesgue theorem due to fulfillment of the Egorov conditions for $\tilde{\rho}_n$ and $\bar{\rho}_n$, see §7.6 [33] or §7.F [35] $J_m = J_{m,\rho}$, since $\tilde{\rho}(x - U^{-1}x, x) \in L(\mu)$, where $J_m := \int_X g(\tilde{P}_m x) \nu(dx)$ and $J_{m,\rho} := \int_X g(\tilde{P}_m x) \tilde{\rho}(x - U^{-1}x, x) |\det(U)|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$. Indeed, there exists n_0 such that $|u(i, j) - \delta_{i,j}| \leq 1/p$ for each i and $j > n_0$, consequently, $|\det(U_n)|_{\mathbf{K}} = |\det(U)|_{\mathbf{K}}$ for each $n > n_0$. Then due to Condition 3.16.(i) and the Egorov conditions (see also §3.3) there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mu_{\tilde{H}(n)}(d\tilde{P}_n x) / \nu_{\tilde{H}(n)}(d\tilde{P}_n x)] = \mu(dx) / \nu(dx) \pmod{\nu}$. Further analogously to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 §25[39].

3.20. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $|\ast|_{\mathbf{K}} = \text{mod}_{\mathbf{K}}(\ast)$ with a probability quasi-invariant measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ and Condition 3.16.(i) be satisfied, also let U fulfils the following conditions:

(i) $U(x)$ and $U^{-1}(x) \in C^1(X, X)$ (see §I.A.3);

(ii) $(U'(x) - I)$ is compact for each $x \in X$;

(iii) $(x - U^{-1}(x))$ and $(x - U(x)) \in J_\mu$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$;

(iv) for μ -a.e. x pairs $(x - U(x); x)$ and $(x - U^{-1}(x); x)$

are contained in a domain of $\rho(z, x)$ such that $\rho(x - U^{-1}(x), x) \neq 0$, $\rho(x - U(x), x) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu}$;

(v) $\|X \setminus S'\|_\mu = 0$,

where $S' := ([x : \rho(z, x) \text{ is defined and continuous by } z \in L])$ for each finite-dimensional $L \subset J_\mu$;

(vi) there exists S with $\|S\|_\mu = 0$ and for each

$x \in X \setminus S$ and for each z for which there exists $\rho(z, x)$ satisfying the following condition: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(P_n z, x) = \rho(z, x)$ and the convergence is uniform for each finite-dimensional $L \subset J_\mu$ by z in $L \cap [x \in J_\mu : |x| \leq c]$, where $c > 0$,

$P_n : X \rightarrow H(n)$ are projectors onto finite-dimensional subspaces $H(n)$ over $\mathbf{K}$ such that $H(n) \subset H(n+1)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $cl \cup \{H(n) : n\} = X$;

(vii) there exists n for which for all $j > n$ and $x \in X$ mappings

$V(j, x) := x + P_j(U^{-1}(x) - x)$ and $U(j, x) := x + P_j(U(x) - x)$ are invertible and $\lim_j |\det U'(j, x)| = |\det U'(x)|$, $\lim_j |\det V'(j, x)| = 1/|\det U'(x)|$ with the Egorov convergence in (vi) by z for $\rho(P_n z, x)$ and in (vii) by x for $|\det(U'(j, x))|$ and $|\det(V'(j, x))|$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$.

Theorem. *The measure $\nu(A) := \mu(U^{-1}(A))$ is equivalent to μ and*

$$(i) \quad \nu(dx)/\mu(dx) = |\det U'(U^{-1}(x))|_{\mathbf{K}} \rho(x - U^{-1}(x), x).$$

Proof. The beginning of the proof is analogous to that of §I.3.25. Due to Conditions (vi, vii) we get $\lim_n \rho(x - V_n^{-1}x, x) = \rho(x - U_1^{-1}x, x)$ in $L(\mu)$ due to the Egorov conditions. Then $J_1 = J_{1,\rho}$ due to the Lebesgue convergence theorem, where $J_1 = \int_X f(U_1 x) \mu(dx)$, $J_{1,\rho} := \int_X f(x) \rho(x - U_1^{-1}x, x) |\det U_1|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$ for continuous bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$. Analogously for U_1^{-1} instead of U_1 . Using instead of f the function $\bar{\Phi}(U_1^{-1}x) := f(x) \rho_\mu(x - U_1^{-1}x, x)$ and Properties 3.10 we get that $\rho_\mu(U_1 x - x, U_1 x) \rho_\mu(x - U_1 x, x) = 1 \pmod{\mu}$. Therefore, for $U = U_1 U_2$ with diagonal U_1 and upper triangular U_2 and lower triangular U_3 operators with finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspaces $(U_j - I)X$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, the following equation is accomplished $\int_X f(Ux) \mu(dx) = \int_X f(x) \rho_\mu(x - U^{-1}x, x) |\det U|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$. If $(S^{-1}U - I)X = L$ [or $(U^{-1}S - I)X = L$], then from the decomposition given in (I) $U = SU_2 U_1 U_3$, we have $(U_j - I)X = L$, [or $(U_j^{-1} - I)X = L$ respectively], $j = 1, 2, 3$ due to formulas from §I.A.1, since corresponding non-major minors are equal to zero.

If U is an arbitrary linear operator satisfying the conditions of this theorem, then from (iv-vi) and (I, II) for each continuous bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ we have $J = J_\rho$, where $J := \int_X f(U(x)) \mu(dx)$ and $J_\rho := \int_X f(x) \rho_\mu(x - U^{-1}(x), x) |\det U|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$. Analogously for U^{-1} , moreover, $\rho(x - U^{-1}(x), x) |\det U|_{\mathbf{K}} =: h(x) \in L(\mu)$, $h(x) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu}$, since there exists $\det U$.

Suppose U is polygonal (see §I.3.25). Then U^{-1} is also polygonal, $U'(x) = V(j)$ for $x \in Y(j)$ and $\int_X f(a(i) + V(i)x) \mu(dx) = \int f(a(i) + x) \rho_\mu(x - V^{-1}(i)x, x) \times |\det(V(i))|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$ for each continuous bounded $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ and each i . From $a(j) \in M_\mu$ and §3.10 we get $\int_X f(a(j) + V(j)x) \mu(dx) = \int_X f(x) \rho(x - V(j)^{-1}(x - a(j)), x) |\det V(j)|_{\mathbf{K}} \mu(dx)$. Let $H_{k,j} := [x \in X :$

$V(k)^{-1}x = V(j)^{-1}x]$, assume without loss of generality that $V(k) \neq V(j)$ or $a(k) \neq a(j)$ for each $k \neq j$, since $Y(k) \neq Y(j)$ (otherwise they may be united). Therefore, $H_{k,j} \neq X$. If $\|H_{k,j}\|_\mu > 0$, then from $X \ominus H_{k,j} \supset \mathbf{K}$ it follows that $M_\mu \subset H_{k,j}$, but $cl(H_{k,j}) = H_{k,j}$ and $cl(M_\mu) = X$. This contradiction means that $\|A\|_\mu = 0$, where $A = [x : V(k)^{-1}(x - a(k)) = V(j)^{-1}(x - a(j))]$. Then $\int_X f(U(x))\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x)\rho(x - U^{-1}(x), x) |det U'(x)|_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}\mu(dx)$.

Then as in §I.3.25.(V) for the construction of the sequence $\{U(j, *) : j\}$ it is sufficient to construct a sequence of polygonal functions $\{a(i, j; x)\}$, that is $a(i, j; x) = l_k(i, j)(x) + a_k$ for $x \in Y(k)$, where $l_k(i, j)$ are linear functionals, $a_k \in \mathbf{K}$, $Y(k)$ are closed in X , $Int(Y(j)) \cap Int(Y(k)) = \emptyset$ for each $k \neq j$, $\bigcup_{k=1}^m Y(k) = X$, $m < \aleph_0$. For each $c > 0$ there exists $V_c \subset X$ with $\|X \setminus V_c\| < c$, the functions $s(i, j; x)$ and $(\bar{\Phi}^1 s(i, j; *))(x, e(k), t)$ are equiuniformly continuous (by $x \in V_c$ and by $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$) on V_c . Choosing $c = c(n) = s^{-n}$ and using δ -nets in V_c we get a sequence of polygonal mappings $(W_n : n)$ converging by its matrix elements by Egorov in the Banach space $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$, from Condition (i) it follows that it may be chosen equicontinuous for matrix elements $s(i, j; x)$, $ds(i, j; x)$ and $s(i, P_j x)$ by i, j (the same is true for U^{-1}).

Then calculating integrals as above for W_n with functions f , using the Lebesgue convergence theorem we get the equalities analogous to written in §I.3.25.(III) for J and J_ρ of the general form. From $\nu(dx)/\mu(dx) \neq 0 \pmod{\mu}$ and §2.19 we get the statement of the theorem.

3.21. Examples. Let X be a Banach space over the field $\mathbf{K}$ with the valuation group $\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$. We consider a diagonal compact operator $T = diag(t_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ in a fixed orthonormal basis $(e_j : j)$ in X such that $ker T := T^{-1}0 = \{0\}$. Let $\nu'_j(dx_j) = C'(\xi_j)s^{-q \min(0, ord_p((x_j - x_j^0)/\xi_j))}v(dx_j)$ for the Haar measure $v : Bco(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_s$, then $\nu'_j(Bf(\mathbf{K})) \subset \mathbf{C}_s$. We choose constant functions $C'(\xi_j)$ such that ν'_j be a probability measure, where $x^0 = (x_j^0 : j) \in X$, $x = (x_j : j) \in X$, $x_j \in \mathbf{K}$.

With the help of products $\bigotimes_j \nu'_j(dx_j)$ as in §3.15 we can construct a probability quasi-invariant measure μ^{T^j} on X with values in $\mathbf{C}_s$, since $cl(TX)$ is compact in X and $sp_{\mathbf{K}}(e_j : j) =: H \subset J_\mu$. From $\bigcap_{\lambda \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus 0} cl(\lambda TX) = \{0\}$ we may infer that for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact $V_c(\lambda) \subset X$ such that $\|X \setminus V_c(\lambda)\|_\mu < c$ and $\bigcap_{\lambda \neq 0} V_c(\lambda) = \{0\}$, consequently, $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow 0} \int_X f(x)\mu^{\lambda T}(dx) = f(0) = \delta_0(f)$, hence $\mu^{\lambda T}$ is weakly converging to δ_0 whilst $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$ for the space of bounded continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$.

From Theorem 3.6 we conclude that from $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |y_j/\xi_j|_p^q < \infty$ it follows

$y \in J_{\mu^T}$. Then for a linear transformation $U : X \rightarrow X$ from $\sum_j |\tilde{e}_j(x - U(x))|/\xi_j|_p^q < \infty$ it follows that $x - U(x) \in J_{\mu}$ and a pair $(x - U(x), x) \in \text{dom}(\rho(a, z))$. Moreover, for ρ corresponding to μ^T conditions (v) and (vi) in §3.20 are satisfied. Therefore, for such y and $S \in Af(X, \mu)$ a quantity $|\mu(ty + S) - \mu(S)|$ is of order of smallness $|t|^q$ whilst $t \rightarrow 0$, hence they are pseudo-differentiable of order b for $0 < Re(b) < q$ (see also §4 below).

It is interesting also to discuss a way of solution of one problem formulated in [20] that there does not exist a σ -additive $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -valued measure with values in X over $\mathbf{Q}_p$ such that it would be an analog of the classical Gaussian measure. In the classical case this means in particular a quasi-invariance of a measure relative to shifts on vectors from a dense subspace. We will show, that on a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K} \supset \mathbf{Q}_p$ for each prime number p there is not a σ -additive $\mu \neq 0$ with values in $\mathbf{K}_p$ such that it is quasi-invariant relative to shifts from a dense subspace. Details can be lightly extracted from the results given above. Let on $(X, Bco(X))$ there exists such μ . With the help of suitable compact operators a cylindrical measure on an algebra of cylindrical subsets of X generates quasi-invariant measures, so we can suppose that μ is quasi-invariant. Then it produces a sequence of a finite-dimensional distribution $\{\mu_{L_n} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ analogously to §2 and §3, where L_n are subspaces of X with dimensions over $\mathbf{K}$ equal to n . Each measure μ_{L_n} is σ -additive. From the quasi-invariance of μ it follows, that L_n can be chosen such that μ_{L_n} are quasi-invariant relative to the entire L_n . But in view of Chapters 7-9 [35] and [36] for measures with values in $\mathbf{K}_p$ (see also Proposition 11 from §VII.1.9 [5]) this means that μ_{L_n} is equivalent to the Haar measure on L_n with values in $\mathbf{K}_p$. The space L_n as the additive group can be considered over $\mathbf{Q}_p$, moreover, for each continuous linear functional $\phi : \mathbf{K}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ considered as the finite-dimensional Banach space over $\mathbf{Q}_p$ the measure $\phi \circ \mu_{L_n}(\cdot)$ is non-trivial for some ϕ . Consequently, on L_n there would be the Haar measure with values in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, but this is impossible due to Chapter 9 in [35], since L_n is not the p -free group. We get the contradiction, that is, such μ does not exist.

4 Pseudo-differentiable measures.

4.1. Definition. A function $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \Lambda_s$ is called pseudo-differentiable of order b , if there exists the following integral: $PD(b, f(x)) := \int_{\mathbf{K}} [(f(x) -$

$f(y)) \times g(x, y, b)]dv(y)$. We introduce the following notation $PD_c(b, f(x))$ for such integral by $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ instead of the entire $\mathbf{K}$. Where $g(x, y, b) := {}_s^{(-1-b) \times \text{ord}_p(x-y)}$ with the corresponding Haar measure v with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, where $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$ and $|x|_{\mathbf{K}} = p^{-\text{ord}_p(x)}$, $\mathbf{C}_p$ denotes the field of complex numbers with the non-Archimedean valuation extending that of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, $p^{-\text{ord}_p(\zeta)} := |\zeta|_{\mathbf{K}}$, Λ_p is a spherically complete field with a valuation group $\{|x| : 0 \neq x \in \Lambda_p\} = (0, \infty) \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathbf{C}_p \subset \Lambda_p$ [9, 35, 36, 45].

A quasi-invariant measure μ on X is called pseudo-differentiable for $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$, if there exists $PD(b, g(x))$ for $g(x) := \mu(-xz + S)$ for each $S \in Bco(X)$ $\|S\|_\mu < \infty$ and each $z \in J_\mu^b$, where J_μ^b is a $\mathbf{K}$ -linear subspace dense in X . For a fixed $z \in X$ such measure is called pseudo-differentiable along z .

For a one-parameter subfamily of operators $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \ni t \mapsto U_t : X \rightarrow X$ quasi-invariant measure μ is called pseudo-differentiable for $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$, if for each S the same as above there exists $PD_c(b, g(t))$ for a function $g(t) := \mu(U_t^{-1}(S))$, where X may be also a topological group G with a measure quasi-invariant relative to a dense subgroup G' (see [23, 24, 27]).

4.2. Let μ , X , and ρ be the same as in Theorem 3.15 and F be a non-Archimedean Fourier transform defined in [44, 35].

Theorems. (1) $g(t) := \rho(z + tw, x)j(t) \in L(\mathbf{K}, v, \mathbf{K}_s) =: V$ for μ and the Haar measure v with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$, where z and $w \in J_\mu$, $t \in \mathbf{K}$, $j(t)$ is the characteristic function of a compact subset $W \subset \mathbf{K}$. In general, may be $k(t) := \rho(z + tw, x) \notin V$.

(2) Let $g(t) = \rho(z + tw, x)j(t)$ with clopen subsets W in $\mathbf{K}$. Then there are μ , for which there exists $PD(b, g(t))$ for each $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$. If $g(t) = \rho(z + tw, x)$, then there are probability measures μ , for which there exists $PD(b, g(t))$ for each $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$ with $0 < \text{Re}(b)$ or $b = 0$.

(3) Let $S \in Af(X, y)$, $\|S\|_\mu < \infty$, then for each $b \in U := \{b' : \text{Re } b' > 0 \text{ or } b' = 0\}$ there is a pseudo-differentiable quasi-invariant measure μ .

Proof. We consider the following additive compact subgroup $G_T := \{x \in X \mid \|x(j)\| \leq p^{k(j)} \text{ for each } j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in X , where $T = \text{diag}\{d(j) \in K : |d(j)| = p^{-k(j)} \text{ for each } j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a compact diagonal operator. Then μ from Theorem 3.15 is quasi-invariant relative to the following additive subgroup $S_T := G_T + H$, where $H := sp_{\mathbf{K}}\{e(j) : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$. The rest of the proof is analogous to that of §I.4.2.

4.3. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $b_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ or $b_0 = +\infty$ and suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator with $\ker(T) = \{0\}$;

(2) a mapping $\tilde{F}$ from $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ to $C_T(X) := \{U : U \in C^1(X, X) \text{ and } (U'(x) - I) \text{ is a compact operator for each } x \in X, \text{ there is } U^{-1} \text{ satisfying the same conditions as } U\}$ is given;

(3) $\tilde{F}(t) = U_t(x)$ and $\Phi^1 U_t(x + h, x)$ are continuous by t , that is, $\tilde{F} \in C^1(B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1), C_T(X))$;

(4) there is $c > 0$ such that $\|U_t(x) - U_s(x)\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for each $x \in X$ and $|t - s| < c$;

(5) for each $R > 0$ there is a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace $H \subset X$ and $c' > 0$ such that $\|U_t(x) - U_s(x)\| \leq \|Tx\|/R$ for each $x \in X \ominus H$ and $|t - s| < c'$ with (3 – 5) satisfying also for U_t^{-1} .

Theorem. *On X there are probability quasi-invariant measures μ which are pseudo-differentiable for each $b \in \mathbf{C}_s$ with $\mathbf{R} \ni \text{Re}(b) \leq b_0$ relative to a family U_t , where μ are with values in $\mathbf{K}_s$.*

Proof. From Conditions (2,3) it follows that there is $c > 0$ such that $|\det(U'_t(x))| = |\det(U'_s(x))|$ in $L(\mu)$ by $x \in X$ and all $|t - s| < c$, where quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures μ on X relative to S_T may be constructed as in the proof of Theorems 3.15 and 4.2. The final part of the proof is analogous to that of §I.4.3.

4.4. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, μ be a probability quasi-invariant measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$, that is pseudo-differentiable for a given b with $\text{Re}(b) > 0$, $C_b(X)$ be a space of continuous bounded functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ with $\|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$.

Theorem. *For each $a \in J_\mu$ and $f \in C_b(X)$ is defined the following integral:*

$$(i) \quad l(f) = \int_K \left[\int_X f(x) [\mu(-\lambda a + dx) - \mu(dx)] g(\lambda, 0, b) v(d\lambda) \right]$$

and there exists a measure $\nu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ with a bounded variation (for $b \in \mathbf{R}$ this ν is a mapping from $Bco(X)$ into $\mathbf{K}_s$ such that

$$(ii) \quad l(f) = \int_X f(x) \nu(dx),$$

where v is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K}$ with values in $\mathbf{Q}_s$, moreover, ν is independent from f and may be dependent on $a \in J_\mu$. We denote $\nu =: \tilde{D}_a^b \mu$.

Proof. From Definition 4.1 and the Lebesgue theorem it follows that there exists $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{K} \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-j})} [\int_X (f(x + \lambda a) - f(x)) g(\lambda, 0, b) \mu(dx)] v(d\lambda) = l(f)$, that is (i) exists. Let (iii) $l_j(V, f) := \int_{\mathbf{K} \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-j})} [\int_V f(x) (\mu(-\lambda a +$

$dx) - \mu(dx))g(\lambda, 0, b)]v(d\lambda)$, where $V \in Bco(X)$. Then due to construction of §3.15 for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact $V_c \subset X$ with $\|X \setminus V_c\|_{\nu_\lambda} < c$ for each $|\lambda| > 0$, where $\nu_\lambda(A) := \int_{\mathbf{K} \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, |\lambda|)} [\mu(-\lambda'a + A) - \mu(A)]g(\lambda', 0, b)v(d\lambda')$ for $A \in Bco(X)$. The rest of the proof is analogous to that of §I.4.4.

4.5. Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $|\ast| = \text{mod}_{\mathbf{K}}(\ast)$ with a probability quasi-invariant measure $\mu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$ and it is satisfied Condition 3.16.(i), suppose μ is pseudo-differentiable and*

(viii) $J_b\mu \subset T''J_\mu$, $(U_t : t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1))$ is a one-parameter family of operators such that Conditions 3.20(i–vii) are satisfied with the substitution of J_μ onto J_μ^b uniformly by $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$, $J_\mu \supset T'X$, where $T', T'' : X \rightarrow X$ are compact operators, $\ker(T') = \ker(T'') = 0$. Moreover, suppose that there are sequences

(ix) $[k(i, j)]$ and $[k'(i, j)]$ with $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lim_{i+j \rightarrow \infty} k(i, j) = \lim_{i+j \rightarrow \infty} k'(i, j) = -\infty$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $|T''_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < |T'_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}|p^{k(i,j)}$, $|U_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < |T''_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}|p^{k'(i,j)}$ and $|(U^{-1})_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < |T''_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}|p^{k'(i,j)}$ for each $i + j > n$, where $U_{i,j} = \tilde{e}_i U(e_j)$, $(e_j : j)$ is orthonormal basis in X . Then for each $f \in C_b(X)$ is defined

$$(i) \ l(f) = \int_{B(K, 0, 1)} \left[\int_X f(x) [\mu(U_t^{-1}(dx)) - \mu(dx)] g(t, 0, b) v(dt) \right]$$

and there exists a measure $\nu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_s$ with a bounded total variation [particularly, for $b \in \mathbf{R}$ it is such that $\nu : Bco(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_s$] and

$$(ii) \ l(f) = \int_X f(x) \nu(dx),$$

where ν is independent from f and may be dependent on $(U_t : t)$, $\nu =: \tilde{D}_{U_}^b \mu$.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.20 it follows that there exists a sequence $U_t^{(q)}$ of polygonal operators converging uniformly by $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ to U_t and equicontinuously by indices of matrix elements in $L(\mu)$. Then there exists $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, p^{-j})} [\int_X f(U_t^{-1}(x)) - f(x)] g(t, 0, b) \mu(dx)] v(dt)$ for each $f \in C_b(X)$. From conditions (viii, ix), the Fubini and Lebesgue theorems it follows that for $\nu_\lambda := \int_{B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \setminus B(\mathbf{K}, 0, |\lambda|)} [\mu(U_t^{-1}(A)) - \mu(A)] g(t, 0, b) v(dt)$ for $A \in Bco(X)$ for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact $V_c \subset X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\|X \setminus V_c\| < c$. Indeed, V_c and $\delta > 0$ may be chosen due to pseudo-differentiability of μ , §§2.30, 3.18, Formula (i), 3.16.(i) and due to continuity and boundedness (on $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1) \ni t$) of $|\det U'_t(U_t^{-1}(x))|_{\mathbf{K}}$ satisfying the

following conditions $U_t^{-1}(V_c) \subset V_c$ and $\|(X \setminus V_c) \triangle (U_t^{-1}(X \setminus V_c))\|_\mu = 0$ for each $|t| < \delta$, since $V_c = Y(j) \cap V_c$ are compact for every j . Repeating proofs 3.20 and 4.4 with the use of Lemma I.2.5 for the family $(U_t : t)$ we get formulas (i, ii).

5 Convergence of quasi-invariant and pseudo-differentiable measures.

5.1. Definitions, notes and notations. Let S be a normal topological group with the small inductive dimension $\text{ind}(S) = 0$, S' be a dense subgroup, suppose their topologies are τ and τ' correspondingly, $\tau' \supset \tau|_{S'}$. Let G be an additive Hausdorff left- R -module, where R is a topological ring, $R \supset Bco(S)$ be a ring $R \supset Bco(S)$ for $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures, $\mathbf{M}(R, G)$ be a family of measures with values in G , $\mathbf{L}(R, G, R)$ be a family of quasi-invariant measure $\mu : R \rightarrow G$ with $\rho_\mu(g, x) \times \mu(dx) := \mu^{g^{-1}}(dx) =: \mu(gdx)$, $R \times G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous left action of R on G such that $\rho_\mu(gh, x) = \rho_\mu(g, hx)\rho_\mu(h, x)$ for each $g, h \in S'$ and $x \in S$. Particularly, $1 = \rho_\mu(g, g^{-1}x)\rho_\mu(g^{-1}, x)$, that is, $\rho_\mu(g, x) \in R_o$, where R_o is a multiplicative subgroup of R . Moreover, $zy \in \mathbf{L}$ for $z \in R_o$ with $\rho_{z\mu}(g, x) = z\rho_\mu(g, x)z^{-1}$ and $z \neq 0$. We suppose that topological characters and weights S and S' are countable and each open W in S' is precompact in S . Let $\mathbf{P}''$ be a family of pseudometrics in G generating the initial uniformity such that for each $c > 0$ and $d \in \mathbf{P}''$ and $\{U_n \in R : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ with $\cap\{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \{x\}$ there is $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $d(\mu^g(U_n), \rho_\mu(g, x)\mu(U_n)) < cd(\mu(U_n), 0)$ for each $n > m$, in addition, a limit ρ is independent μ -a.e. on the choice of $\{U_n : n\}$ for each $x \in S$ and $g \in S'$. Consider a subring $R' \subset R$, $R' \supset Bco(S)$ such that $\cup\{A_n : n = 1, \dots, N\} \in R'$ for $A_n \in R'$ with $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $S'R' = R'$. Then $\mathbf{L}(R, G, R; R') := \{(\mu, \rho_\mu(*, *)) \in \mathbf{L}(R, G, R) : \mu - R' - \text{is regular and for each } s \in S \text{ there are } A_n \in R', n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ with } s = \cap(A_n : n), \{s\} \in R'\}$.

For pseudo-differentiable measures μ let $S'' \subset S'$, S'' be a dense subgroup in S , $\tau'|_{S''}$ is not stronger than τ'' on S'' and there exists a neighbourhood $\tau'' \ni W'' \ni e$ in which are dense elements lying on one-parameter subgroups $(U_t : t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1))$. We suppose that μ is induced from the Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ due to a local homeomorphism of neighbourhoods of e in S and 0 in

X as for the case of groups of diffeomorphisms [21] such that is accomplished Theorem 4.5 for each $U_* \subset S''$ inducing the corresponding transformations on X . In the following case $S = X$ we consider $S' = J_\mu$ and $S'' = J_\mu^b$ with $Re(b) > 0$ such that $M_\mu \supset J_\mu \subset (T_\mu X)^\sim$, $J_\mu^b \subset (T_\mu^{(b)} X)^\sim$ with compact operators T_μ and $T_\mu^{(b)}$, $ker(T_\mu) = ker(T_\mu^{(b)}) = 0$ and norms induced by the Minkowski functional P_E for $E = T_\mu B(X, 0, 1)$ and $E = T_\mu^{(b)} B(X, 0, 1)$ respectively. We suppose further that for pseudo-differentiable measures G is equal to $\mathbf{C}_s \vee \mathbf{K}_s$. We denote $P(\mathbf{R}, G, R, U_*; R') := [(\mu, \rho_\mu, \eta_\mu) : (\mu, \rho_\mu) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{R}, G, R; R'), \mu \text{ is pseudo-differentiable and } \eta_\mu(t, U_*, A) \in L(\mathbf{K}, v, \mathbf{C}_s)]$, where $\eta_\mu(t, U_*, A) = j(t)g(t, 0, b)[\mu^h(U_t^{-1}(A) - \mu^h(A))]$, $j(t) = 1$ for each $t \in \mathbf{K}$ for $S = X$; $j(t) = 1$ for $t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$, $j(t) = 0$ for $|t|_{\mathbf{K}} > 1$ for a topological group S that is not a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$, v is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K}$ with values in $\mathbf{Q}_s$, $(U_t : t \in B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1))$ is an arbitrary one-parameter subgroup. On these spaces $\mathbf{L}$ (or P) the additional conditions are imposed:

(a) for each neighbourhood (implying that it is open) $U \ni 0 \in G$ there exists a neighbourhood $S \supset V \ni e$ and a compact subset V_U , $e \in V_U \subset V$, with $\mu(B) \in U$ (or in addition $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu(B) \in U$) for each B , $\mathbf{R} \ni B \in Bco(S \setminus V_U)$;

(b) for a given U and a neighbourhood $R \supset D \ni 0$ there exists a neighbourhood W , $S' \supset W \ni e$, (pseudo)metric $d \in P''$ and $c > 0$ such that $\rho_\mu(g, x) - \rho_\mu(h, x') \in D$ (or $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b(\mu^g - \mu^h)(A) \in U$ for $A \in Bco(V_U)$ in addition for P) whilst $g, h \in W$, $x, x' \in V_U$, $d(x, x') < c$, where (a,b) is satisfied for all $(\mu, \rho_\mu) \in \mathbf{L}$ (or $(\mu, \rho_\mu, \eta_\mu) \in P$) equicontinuously in (a) on $V \ni U_t, U_t^{-1}$ and in (b) on W and on each V_U for $\rho_\mu(g, x) - \rho_\mu(h, x')$ and $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b(\mu^g - \mu^h)(A)$.

These conditions are justified, since due to Theorems 3.15, 3.19, 4.3 and 4.5 there exists a subspace Z'' dense in Z' such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $\infty > R > 0$ there are $r > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ with $|\rho_\nu(g, x) - \rho_\nu(h, y)| < \epsilon$ for each $\|g - h\|_{Z''} + \|x - y\|_Z < \delta$, $g, h \in B(Z'', 0, r)$, $x, y \in B(Z, 0, R)$, where Z'' is the Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$. For a group of diffeomorphisms of a non-Archimedean Banach manifold we have an analogous continuity of ρ_μ for a subgroup G'' of the entire group G (see [21, 23, 27, 29]). By $\mathbf{M}_0$ we denote a subspace in $\mathbf{M}$, satisfying (a). Henceforth, we imply that R' contains all closed subsets from S belonging to $\mathbf{R}$, where G and $\mathbf{R}$ are complete.

For $\mu : Bco(S) \rightarrow G$ by $L(S, \mu, G)$ we denote the completion of a space of continuous $f : S \rightarrow G$ such that $\|f\|_d := \sup_{h \in C_b(S, G)} d(\int_S f(x)h(x)\mu(dx), 0) < \infty$ for each $d \in P''$, where $C_b(S, G)$ is a space of continuous

bounded functions $h : S \rightarrow G$. We suppose that for each sequence $(f_n : n) \subset L(S, \mu, G)$ for which $g \in L(S, \mu, G)$ exists with $d(f_n(x), 0) \leq d(g(x), 0)$ for every $d \in P''$, x and n , that f_n converges uniformly on each compact subset $V \subset S$ with $\|V\|_\mu > 0$ and the following is satisfied: $f \in L(S, \mu, G)$, $\lim_n \|f_n - f\|_d = 0$ for each $d \in P''$ and $\int_S f(x) \mu(dx) = \lim_n \int_S f_n(x) \mu(dx)$. In the case $G = \mathbf{K}_s$ it coincides with $L(S, \mu, \mathbf{K}_s)$, hence this supposition is the Lebesgue theorem. By $Y(v)$ we denote $L(\mathbf{K}, v, \mathbf{C}_s)$.

Now we may define topologies and uniformities with the help of corresponding bases (see below) on $\mathbf{L} \subset G^{\mathbf{R}} \times R_o^{S' \times S} =: Y$ (or $P \subset G^{\mathbf{R}} \times R_o^{S' \times S} \times G^{S' \times K \times \mathbf{R}} =: Y$, $R_o \subset R \setminus \{0\}$). There are the natural projections $\pi : \mathbf{L} (\vee P) \rightarrow M_o$, $\pi(\mu, \rho_\mu(*, *) (\vee \eta_\mu)) = \mu$, $\xi : \mathbf{L} (\vee P) \rightarrow R^{S' \times S}$, $\xi(\mu, \rho_\mu, (\vee \eta_\mu)) = \rho_\mu$, $\zeta : P \rightarrow G^{S' \times K \times \mathbf{R}}$, $\zeta(\mu, \rho_\mu, \eta_\mu) = \eta_\mu$. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be a filter on $\mathbf{L}$ or P , $U = U' \times U''$ or $U = U' \times U'' \times U'''$, U' and U'' be elements of uniformities on G , R and $Y(v)$ correspondingly, $\tau' \ni W \ni e$, $\tau \ni V \supset V_{U'} \ni e$, $V_{U'}$ is compact. By $[\mu]$ we denote (μ, ρ_μ) for $\mathbf{L}$ or $(\mu, \rho_\mu, \eta_\mu)$ for P , $\Omega := \mathbf{L} \vee P$, $[\mu](A, W, V) := [\mu^g(A), \rho_\mu(g, x), \vee \eta_{\mu^g}(t, U_*, A) \mid g \in W, x \in V, \vee t \in K]$. We consider $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{R}$, then

$$(1) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}, W, V_{U'}; U) := \{([\mu], [\nu]) \in \Omega^2 \mid ([\mu], [\nu])(A, W, V_{U'}) \subset U\};$$

$$(2) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{S}; U) := \{([\mu], [\nu]) \in \Omega^2 \mid \{(B, g, x) : ([\mu], [\nu])(B, g, x) \in U\} \in \mathbf{S}\},$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is a filter on $\mathbf{R} \times S' \times S^c$, S^c is a family of compact subsets $V' \ni e$.

$$(3) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F}, W, V; U) := \{([\mu], [\nu]) \in \Omega^2 \mid \{B : ([\mu], [\nu])(B, g, x) \in U, g \in W, x \in V\} \in \mathbf{F}\},$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is a filter on $\mathbf{R}$ (compare with § 2.1 and 4.1[7]);

$$(4) \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}; U) := \{([\mu], [\nu]) \in \Omega^2 \mid \{(g, x) : ([\mu], [\nu])(B, g, x) \in U, B \in \mathbf{A}\} \in \mathbf{G}\},$$

where $\mathbf{G}$ is a filter on $S' \times S^c$; suppose $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{R} \times \tau'_e \times S^c$, Φ is a family of filters on $\mathbf{R} \times S' \times S^c$ or $\mathbf{R} \times S' \times S^c \times Y(v)$ (generated by products of filters $\Phi_{\mathbf{R}} \times \Phi_{S'} \times \Phi_{S^c}$ on the corresponding spaces), U' be a uniformity on (G, R) or $(G, R, Y(v))$, $\mathbf{F} \subset Y$. A family of finite intersections of sets $\mathbf{W}(A, U) \cap (\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{F})$ (see (1)), where $(A, U) \in \mathbf{U} \times U'$ (or $\mathbf{W}(F, U) \cap (\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{F})$ (see (2)), where $(F, U) \in (\Phi \times U')$ generate by the definition a base of uniformity of $\mathbf{U}$ -convergence (Φ -convergence respectively) on $\mathbf{F}$ and generate the corresponding topologies. For these uniformities are used notations

$$(i) \mathbf{F}_U \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_\Phi; \quad \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R} \times W \times V} \text{ is for } \mathbf{F} \text{ with the uniformity of uniform convergence}$$

on $R \times W \times V$, where $W \in \tau'_e$, $V \in S^c$, analogously for the entire space Y ;

(ii) F_A denotes the uniformity (or topology) of pointwise convergence for

$A \subset R \times \tau'_e \times S^c =: Z$, for $A = Z$ we omit the index (see formula (1)). Henceforward, we use H' instead of H in 4.1.24[7], that is, $H'(A, \tilde{R})$ -filter on R generated by the base $[(L \in R : L \subset A \setminus K') : K' \in \tilde{R}, K' \subset A]$, where $\tilde{R} \subset R$ and $\tilde{R}$ is closed relative to the finite unions.

For example, let S be a locally $\mathbf{K}$ -convex space, S' be a dense subspace, G be a locally $\mathbf{L}$ -convex space, where $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L}$ are fields, $R = B(G)$ be a space of bounded linear operators on G , $R_o = GL(G)$ be a multiplicative group of invertible linear operators. Then others possibilities are: $S = X$ be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $S' = J_\mu$, $S'' = J_\mu^b$ as above; $S = G(t)$, $S' \supset S''$ are dense subgroups, $G = R$ be the field $\mathbf{K}_s$ ($s \neq p$), M be an analytic Banach manifold over $\mathbf{K} \supset \mathbf{Q}_p$ (see [21]). The rest of the necessary standard definitions are recalled further when they are used.

5.2. Lemma. *Let R be a quasi- δ -ring with the weakest uniformity in which each $\mu \in M$ is uniformly continuous and $\Phi \subset \hat{\Phi}_C(R, S' \times S^c)$. Then $L(R, G, R, R')_\Phi$ (or $P(R, G, R, U_*; R')_\Phi$) is a topological space on which R_o acts continuously from the right.*

Proof. It is analogous to that of §I.5.2 using Definition 4.1 for pseudo-differentiable f .

5.3. Proposition. (1). *Let T be a $\hat{\Phi}_4$ -filter on $M_o(R, G; R')$, $\{A_n\}$ be a disjoint $\Theta(R)$ -sequence, Σ be the elementary filter on R generated by $\{A_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\phi : M_o \times R \rightarrow G$ with $\phi(\mu, A) = \mu(A)$. Then $\phi(T \times \Sigma)$ converges to 0. (2). Moreover, let U be a base of neighbourhoods of $e \in S'$, $\phi : L \rightarrow G \times R$, $\phi(\mu, A, g) := (\mu^g(A), \rho_\mu(g, x))$, where $x \in A$. Then $(0, 1) \in \lim \phi(T \times \Sigma \times U)$. (3). If T is a Φ_4 -filter on $P(R, G, R, U_*; R')$, $\psi(\mu, B, g, t, U_*) = [\mu(B); \rho_\mu(g, x); \eta_{\mu^g}(t, U_*, B)]$, then $(0, 1, 0) \in \lim \psi(T \times \Sigma \times U)$ for each given $U_* \in S''$, where Σ and U as in (1, 2).*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of §I.5.3 with the use of the Lebesgue convergence theorem.

5.4. Proposition. *Let H be a $\hat{\Phi}_4$ -filter on L (or P) with the topology F (see 5.1.(ii)), $A \in R$, $\tau_G \ni U \ni 0$, $H'(A, R') \in \Psi_f(R)$. Then there are $L \in H$, $\tilde{K} \in R'$ and an element of the uniformity U for $L_{R'}$ or $P_{R'}$ such that $\tilde{K} \subset A$, $L = [(\mu, \rho_\mu(g, x)) : M := \pi_{M_o}(L) \ni \mu, \pi_{\tau'_e}(L) =: W \ni g$ (or $(\mu, \rho_\mu, \eta_\mu(*, *, U_*))$) and additionally $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu = PD(b, \eta_\mu)]$, $e \in W \in \tau'$,*

$\mu^g(B) - \nu^h(C) \in U$ (or in addition $(\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu^g(B)) - (\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \nu^h(C)) \in U$) for $\tilde{K} \subset B \subset A$, $\tilde{K} \subset C \subset A$ for each $([\mu], [\nu]) \in \bar{\bar{L}}^2 \cap \mathcal{U}$, where $\bar{\bar{L}} := cl(L, \mathbf{L}_{R'})$ (or $cl(L, P_{R'})$), $\pi_{\mathbf{M}_0}$ is a projector from L into $\mathbf{M}_0$.

Proof. Repeating the proof of §I.5.4 we get $\mu^g(B) - \mu(B) \in U'$, $\nu^h(C) - \nu(C) \in U'$ and for $3U' \subset U$ we get 5.4 for L . From Theorems 4.4 and 4.5, §5.1, the Egorov conditions and the Lebesgue theorem we get 5.4 for P , since μ are probability measures and $\mathbf{L}_{R'}$ (or $P_{R'}$) correspond to uniformity from §5.1.(ii) with $A = R' \times \tau'_e \times S^c$. Indeed, $\mu^g(A) - \nu^h(A) = (\mu^g(A) - \mu^g(V_{U'})) + (\mu^g(V_{U'}) - \nu^h(V_{U'})) + (\nu^h(V_{U'}) - \nu^h(A))$, $\mu^g(A) = \int_A \rho_\mu(g, x) \mu(dx)$ for each $A \in Bco(S)$, for each $\tau_G \ni U' \ni 0$ there exists a compact subset $V'_U \subset A$ with $\mu^g(B) \in U'$ for each $B \in Bf(A \setminus V_{U'}) \cap Bco(S)$ and the same for ν^h (due to the condition in §5.1 that R' contains $Bco(S)$). At first we can consider $A \in Bco(S)$, then use R' -regularity of measures and $\sigma R' \supset Bco(S)$. From the separability of S , S' and the equality of their topological weights to $\aleph_0$, restrictions 5.1.(a,b) it follows that there exists a sequence of partitions $Z_n = [(x_m, A_m) : m, x_m \in A_m]$ for each $A \in Bco(S)$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for each $i \neq j$, $\bigcup_m A_m = A$, $A_m \in Bco(S)$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu^g(A) - \sum_j \rho_\mu(g, x_j) \mu(A_j)) = 0$ and the same for ν , moreover, for $V_{U'}$ each Z_n may be chosen finite. Then there exists $W \in \tau'_e$ with $W \times (S \setminus V^2) \subset (S \setminus V)$, $\tau_e \ni V \subset V^2$, $\nu^g(B)$ and $\mu^g(B) \in U'$ for each $B \in Bf(S \setminus V^2) \cap Bco(S)$ (for $G = \mathbf{K}_s$ respectively) and $g \in W$ (see 5.1.(a)). Then from $A = [A \cap (S \setminus V^2)] \cup [A \cap V^2]$ and the existence of compact $V'_{U'} \subset V$ with $\mu(E) \in U'$ for each $E \in Bf(V \setminus V'_{U'}) \cap Bco(S)$ and the same for ν , moreover, $(V'_{U'})^2$ is also compact, it follows that $\mu^g(B) - \nu^h(C) \in U$ for $9U' \subset U$, since $R' \supset Bco(S)$, where W satisfies the following condition $\mu^g(V'_{U'}) - \nu^h(V'_{U'}) \in U'$ for $V'_{U'} \subset V^2$ due to §5.1.(b), $\mu(B) - \nu(C) \in U'$, $WV'_{U'} \subset (V'_{U'})^2$ due to precompactness of W in S . Since pseudo-differentiable measures are also quasi-invariant, hence for them 5.4 is true.

Now let $[\mu] \in \lim H$, $A \in Bco(S)$, then $\eta_\mu \in \lim \zeta(H)$ in $Y(v)$ and there exists a sequence η_{μ_n} such that $\int_{\mathbf{K}} \eta_{\mu_n}(\lambda, U_*, A) v(d\lambda) = \tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu_n(A)$ due to §§4.4 or 5.1 and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu_n(A) = \int_{\mathbf{K}} \eta_\mu(\lambda, U_*, A) v(d\lambda) =: \kappa(A)$ due to the Lebesgue theorem. From $\eta_\mu(\lambda, U_*, A \cup B) = \eta(\lambda, U_*, A) + \eta(\lambda, U_*, B)$ for $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $B \in Bco(S)$ it follows that $\nu(A)$ is the measure on $Bco(S)$, moreover, $\kappa(A) = \tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu(A)$. Since $\mu^g(A) = \int_A \rho_\mu(g, x) \mu(dx)$ for $A \in Bco(S)$ for $g \in S'$, then $\eta_{\mu^g}(\lambda, U_*, A) = j(\lambda)g(\lambda, 0, b)[\mu^g(A) - \mu^g(U_\lambda^{-1}A)] = j(\lambda)g(\lambda, 0, b) \int_A \rho_\mu(g, x)[\mu(dx) - \mu^{U_\lambda}(dx)]$ and in view of the Fubini theorem there exists $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu^g(A) = \int_A [\int_{\mathbf{K}} \rho_\mu(g, x) j(\lambda)g(\lambda, 0, b)[\mu(dx) - \mu^{U_\lambda}(dx)] v(d\lambda)$, where $j(t) = 1$ for $S = X$ and $j(t)$ is the

characteristic function of $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, 1)$ for S that is not the Banach space X . Then μ -a.e. $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu^g(dx) / \tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu(dx)$ coincides with $\rho_\mu(g, x)$ due to 5.1.(a,b), hence, $(\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b \mu^g, \rho_{\mu^g})$ generate the Φ_4 -filter in L arising from the $\hat{\Phi}_4$ -filter in P . Then we estimate $\tilde{D}_{U_*}^b (\mu^g - \nu^h)(A)$ as above $\mu^g(A) - \nu^h(A)$. Therefore, we find for the Φ_4 -filter corresponding L , since there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $U_\lambda \in W$ for each $|\lambda| < \delta$. For Φ_4 -filter we use the corresponding finite intersections $W_1 \cap \dots \cap W_n = W$, where W_j correspond to the Φ_4 -filters H_j .

Note. The formulations and proofs of §§5.5-5.10 (see Part I) are quite analogous for real-valued and $\mathbf{K}_s$ -valued measures due to preceding results.

References

- [1] I.Ya. Aref'eva, B. Dragovich, I.V. Volovich. "On the p -adic summability of the anharmonic oscillator" // Phys. Lett., **B 200** (1988), 512-514.
- [2] A. H. Bikulov, I.V. Volovich. " p -Adic Brounian motion" // Izvest. Russ. Acad. Sci. Ser. Math. **61: 3** (1997), 75-90.
- [3] S. Bosch, U. Guntzer, R. Remmert. "Non-Archimedean analysis" (Berlin: Springer, 1984).
- [4] V.I. Bogachev, O.G. Smolyanov. "Analytic properties of infinite-dimensional distributions" // Usp. Mat. Nauk. **45: 3** (1990), 3-83.
- [5] N. Bourbaki. "Intégration". Livre VI. Fasc. XIII, XXI, XXIX, XXXV. Ch. 1-9 (Paris: Hermann, 1965, 1967, 1963, 1969) or (Moscow: Nauka, 1970 and 1977).
- [6] J.P.R. Christensen. "Topology and Borel structure". North-Holland Math. Studies N **10** (Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1974).
- [7] C. Constantinescu. "Spaces of measures" (Berlin: Springer, 1984).
- [8] Yu.L. Dalecky, S.V. Fomin. "Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces" (Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991).
- [9] B. Diarra. "Ultraproduits ultramétriques de corps values". Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont II, Sér. Math., Fasc. **22** (1984), 1-37.

- [10] G.S. Djordjević, B. Dragovich. " p -Adic and adelic harmonic oscillator with a time-dependent frequency" // Theor. and Math. Phys., **124: 2** (2000), 1059-1067.
- [11] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Moscow: Mir, 1986).
- [12] H. Federer. "Geometric measure theory" (Berlin: Springer, 1969).
- [13] F.R. Gantmaher. "Theory of matrices" (Moscow: Nauka, 1988).
- [14] I.M. Gelfand, N.Ya. Vilenkin. "Some applications of harmonic analysis. Generalized functions". V. **4** (Moscow: Fiz.-Mat. Lit., 1961).
- [15] P.L. Henneken, A. Torta. "Theory of probability and some its applications" (Moscow: Nauka, 1974).
- [16] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross. "Abstract harmonic analysis" (Sec. ed., Berlin: Springer, 1979).
- [17] C.J. Isham, J. Milnor in: "Relativity, groups and topology. II". P.1007-1290, Editors Witt B.S. De, Stora R.(Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1984).
- [18] Y. Jang. "Non-Archimedean quantum mechanics" // Tohoku Math. Publ. N **10**, 1998.
- [19] A.Yu. Khrennikov. "Mathematical methods of non-Archimedean physics" // Usp. Mat. Nauk. V. **45: 4** (1990), 79-110.
- [20] A. Yu. Khrennikov, M. Endo. "Non-boundedness of p -adic Gaussian distributions" // Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **56** (1992), 1104-1115.
- [21] S.V. Ludkovsky "Measures on groups of diffeomorphisms of non-Archimedean Banach manifolds" // Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **51: 2** (1996), 169-170.
- [22] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-Archimedean polyhedral expansions of ultrauniform spaces" // Fundam. i Prikl. Mat., to appear.
- [23] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Measures on diffeomorphism groups of non-Archimedean manifolds, representations of groups and their applications" // Theor. and Math. Phys. **119: 3** (1999), 381-396.

- [24] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on non-Archimedean semi-groups of loops" // Usp. Mat. Nauk. **53: 3** (1998), 203-204.
- [25] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Irreducible unitary representations of non-Archimedean groups of diffeomorphisms" // Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics. **22** (1998), 419-436.
- [26] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Properties of quasi-invariant measures on topological groups and associated algebras" // Annales Mathem. B. Pascal. **6: 1** (1999), 33-45.
- [27] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on non-Archimedean groups and semigroups of loops and paths, their representations" // Annales Mathematiques Blaise Pascal. **7: 2** (2000), 19-53, 55-80.
- [28] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-Archimedean free Banach spaces" // Fund. i Prikl. Mat. **1: 3** (1995), 979-987.
- [29] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on a group of diffeomorphisms of an infinite-dimensional real manifold and induced irreducible unitary representations" // Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matem. dell'Università di Trieste. Nuova Serie. **31** (1999), 101-134.
- [30] A. Mądrecki. "Minlos' theorem in non-Archimedean locally convex spaces" // Comment. Math. (Warsaw). **30** (1991), 101-111.
- [31] A. Mądrecki. "Some negative results on existence of Sazonov topology in l -adic Frechet spaces" // Arch. Math. **56** (1991), 601-610.
- [32] A. Mądrecki. "On Sazonov type topology in p -adic Banach space" // Math. Zeit. **188** (1985), 225-236.
- [33] A.P. Monna, T.A. Springer. "Integration non-archimédienne" // Indag. Math. **25** (1963), 634-653.
- [34] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces" (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1985).
- [35] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis" (New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1978).

- [36] W.H. Schikhof. "Ultrametric calculus" (Cambridge: Camb. Univ. Press, 1984).
- [37] W.H. Schikhov. "On p -adic compact operators". Report **8911** (Dept. Math. Cath. Univ., Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1989).
- [38] W.H. Schikhof. "A Radon-Nikodym theorem for non-Archimedean integrals and absolutely continuous measures on groups" // Indag. Math. Ser. A. **33: 1** (1971), 78-85.
- [39] A.V. Skorohod. "Integration in Hilbert space" (Berlin: Springer, 1974).
- [40] O.G. Smolyanov, S.V. Fomin. "Measures on linear topological spaces" // Usp. Mat. Nauk. **31: 4** (1976), 3-56.
- [41] F. Topsoe. "Compactness and tightness in a space of measures with the topology of weak convergence" // Math. Scand. **34** (1974), 187-210.
- [42] F. Topsoe. "Some special results on convergent sequences of Radon measures" // Manuscripta Math. **19** (1976), 1-14.
- [43] N.N. Vahaniya, V.I. Tarieladze, S.A. Chobanyan. "Probability distributions in Banach spaces" (Moscow: Nauka, 1985).
- [44] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, " p -adic analysis and mathematical physics" (Moscow: Nauka, 1994).
- [45] A. Weil. "Basic number theory" (Berlin: Springer, 1973).

Address: Theoretical Department,
 Institute of General Physics,
 Russian Academy of Sciences,
 Str. Vavilov 38, Moscow, 119991 GSP-1, Russia